

DEI in a Nutshell

Lately, I've noticed a lot of n*ggers with law degrees, and a question that frequently comes to mind is "***Who took their Bar Exams for them, and how did they get away with such fraud?***" Kamala Harris springs immediately to mind. So does Fanny Willis in Fulton County, Georgia. Many Clinton, Obama and Biden-appointed federal judges beg that question, as well.

My curiosity was revived when a federal district judge in Mississippi recently issued a *Temporary Restraining Order* that contained multiple misstatements of fact, and his "*corrected*" version wasn't much better. (Taylor Vance and Devna Bose. "*Attorneys Baffled by Federal Judge's Order That Lists Incorrect Parties, Wrong Quotes.*" **MISSISSIPPI TODAY:** July 28, 2025.)

That *SHOULD* have been humiliating to him, and would have been if he were capable of experiencing humiliation. Unfortunately, the under-evolved *Frontal Lobes* of many blacks preclude any such possibility.



Henry T. Wingate

On July 20th, a *Temporary Restraining Order* from U.S. District Judge Henry T. Wingate listed plaintiffs who were never parties to the lawsuit. It also included inaccurate quotes from the pertinent *Mississippi State Statute*, and referred to a case that does not exist. After both sides requested a clarification, Wingate issued a "*corrected*" order that was only marginally better.

IN A DELICIOUS EXAMPLE OF IRONY, THE RESTRAINING ORDER WAS INTENDED TO PAUSE THE ENFORCEMENT OF A MISSISSIPPI STATE STATUTE BANNING DIVERSITY, EQUITY AND INCLUSION (DEI) IN THAT STATE'S PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND UNIVERSITIES.

Wingate's original restraining order listed the *Mississippi Library Association* and the *Delta Sigma Theta Sorority* as plaintiffs, even though neither was ever involved in the case before the court. In fact, neither is involved in *any* pending litigation before *the Southern District of Mississippi* at present. (*Delta Sigma Theta* is a nigra sorority, in case anyone was wondering.)

Wingate's original order not only misquotes portions of the initial complaint, but he also misquotes provisions of the state statute, itself. His "*corrected*" version persists in citing a case from the *4th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals*, *Cousins v. School Board of City of Norfolk*, which doesn't exist.

In their article in *MISSISSIPPI TODAY*, its two authors speculated that Judge Wingate's errors and misquotes may be the result of having used *Artificial Intelligence* to compose his restraining order. As one very clever wag on *AmRen's* website quipped, "*We can safely rule out human intelligence being involved...*"

Earl P. Holt III
Flyover Country