

***NO NONSENSE* Immigration Reform**

by Earl P. Holt III

Hundreds of thousands of foreign nationals are currently massing on our Southern border, planning to illegally enter the U.S. before Donald Trump assumes office in January of 2025. While President Trump will certainly reinstate many bold and essential immigration reforms using Executive Orders -- such as his highly-effective **"REMAIN IN MEXICO"** policy and his **BORDER WALL** -- there are many more reforms that are desperately needed to resolve the problem of 30 million illegal alien parasites currently residing in this country.



Trump's Beautiful Border Wall

Decades ago, Pat Buchanan wisely suggested that we adopt a **MORATORIUM ON IMMIGRATION**. This would allow for a legitimate public debate and might end the monopoly on romanticized immigration narratives concocted by communists. Such a moratorium would offer the opportunity for so-called "*Democrats*" and squishy Republicans to demonstrate their *true commitment* to ending illegal immigration. This should expose those who repeatedly deceive voters back home by giving lip service to immigration reform, while pocketing large donations from the K Street immigration lobby after doing its bidding.

It's difficult to imagine any immigration reform that would be more effective at encouraging self-deportation than ASSET FORFEITURE by law enforcement. Federal and state authorities might announce that they will initiate *asset forfeiture* on a specific date, creating an incentive for illegals to begin their exodus. The proceeds would help ensure that U.S. taxpayers no longer need to bear the *full* cost of repatriating and deporting illegals, and just might do so without a tax increase. The cost of arresting and deporting illegals would be shifted from taxpayers to those who actually violate immigration laws.

Prior to announcing the new *asset forfeiture* policy, it will be necessary to restrict international wire transfers of cash to foreign lands by illegals using ***Western Union*** and similar companies. This will prevent illegals from evading *asset forfeiture* by divesting themselves of assets prior to voluntary or involuntary repatriation. Some or part of the assets seized from illegals could be returned to them once they are repatriated to their nations of origin, creating a strong incentive for them to cooperate with immigration authorities in an expeditious manner.

There is a further need to complement an asset forfeiture policy with financial incentives to **IDENTIFY AND REPORT ILLEGALS**. Federal legislation establishing financial incentives for reporting the locations of illegals would save federal and state authorities a great deal of time and resources by facilitating their capture and deportation. These financial

incentives would simplify the arduous task facing ***Immigration Control and Enforcement*** (ICE) agents when attempting to deport an estimated 30 million illegal aliens in the years ahead.

Clearly, completing and maintaining President Trump's border wall must be among his highest priorities. In his second term, the balance of Trump's wall could be funded by **WITHHOLDING FOREIGN AID** from nations where the bulk of illegal immigration originates, such as Mexico, Guatemala, Honduras and El Salvador. That would be a more productive use of our **\$50 BILLION** annual ***Foreign Aid Budget***, and would involve **pre-existing funds**. It would also send a clear message to Latin Nations that we do not intend to be a repository for the dregs of their society. Nations that truly cooperate with the U.S. to curb illegal immigration should not be penalized by having Foreign Aid withheld.



Venezuelan "Newcomers"

Accompanying a policy to withhold foreign aid from nations enabling illegal immigration to the U.S. should be a policy to **WITHHOLD FEDERAL FUNDS** from ***"sanctuary"*** cities, counties, and states. States such as

California, New York, Illinois, and Colorado will inevitably try to subvert its purpose, but withholding a variety of federal grants and funding from those offering sanctuary to illegals might cool their enthusiasm for violating federal immigration laws. The idiots who misgovern **BLUE** areas will surely challenge such a policy, but the burden of funding lengthy court challenges would fall *on them* for a change.

Despite the lack of cooperation among some **BLUE** states, the federal government would still retain the authority to enforce existing immigration laws. Federal immigration authorities would quickly recognize where states and counties are compliant, and could then more efficiently **refocus their resources** by concentrating their efforts on states with large populations of illegals, and where state and county authorities do **NOT** cooperate.



Origins and Entrance Points of Illegal Immigration

Serious reform efforts must also deny citizenship to so-called "**ANCHOR BABIES**," a term used to describe the litters dropped by foreign nationals after they manage to sneak into the U.S. illegally, whose offspring are then assumed to be legal citizens once they are birthed. We must also end "**CHAIN MIGRATION**," a policy that allows newly-legalized immigrants to bring their entire extended nuclear families here. These will require federal legislation to finally eliminate.

We must also end economic incentives for illegal immigrants by **DENYING PUBLIC ASSISTANCE** of any kind to them, even emergency medical care. America already has enough parasites in the form of welfare recipients who make a career out of receiving "*free stuff*" from the Yankee Government. Illegals receive free food, free health care, free cell phones, subsidized rent, free public schools, and free transfers of income. These act as a magnet to impoverished inhabitants of Third World countries. As the late Nobel Laureate Milton Friedman warned us, no nation can offer both open borders and a welfare state. Any federal legislation involving immigration needs to include such a prohibition.

Another way to discourage the citizens of Latin nations from illegally invading the U.S. is to establish **MICRO-BANKS** to *stimulate economic opportunities* in their nations of origin. Somehow, their governments seem glaringly and habitually incapable of accomplishing such a thing. Philanthropic organizations, the **U.S. State Department** and private investors might supply the necessary capital to establish these lending institutions. They could be located in the poorer regions of Latin America to make modest and often *unsecured* loans to those without access to capital. America's philanthropic organizations routinely squander billions each year to implement insane and delusional programs dreamed up by globalist dilettantes: micro banks are a good idea that have already proved their value in India by contributing substantially to India's recent, impressive GDP growth.

Small loans from micro-banks would enable borrowers to start their own businesses and begin their road to financial independence. They could be used to purchase tools, construction equipment, a taxi, startup capital to open a taco stand or micro-brewery, publish a newspaper, or for any purpose that entrepreneurs might envision with the exception of the drug trade. They could be capitalized by private investors, or by diverting foreign aid intended for their central governments, which are usually embezzled by political authorities, anyway. Micro banks would provide economic aid that **end-runs the corrupt governments** of Latin nations, and puts "**seed capital**" directly in the hands of entrepreneurs.



Finally, the Supreme Court foolishly ruled in ***U.S. v. Arizona*** (2012) that the federal government has *sole* authority to execute U.S. immigration policy, even where it willfully neglects its responsibility for enforcement. Yet, Article IV, Section 4 of the Constitution provides: "***The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them against Invasion...***" This

provision of the Constitution was willfully ignored by the leftist majority that wrongly decided *U.S. v. Arizona* in 2012.

A sound tactic to encourage the Supreme Court to reconsider *U.S. v. Arizona* would be for Congress to enact a federal statute granting every state **COEXTENSIVE AND PARALLEL AUTHORITY** to enforce existing immigration laws, so long as their actions observe federal law. The Supreme Court must sometimes act blindly when there is no guidance from other branches, so such a statute might well persuade the Court to modify *U.S. v. Arizona* and permit state enforcement of federal immigration laws. This was the policy that prevailed until our first communist and Muslim president was elected in 2008.

Despite the endless lies of the Jews' Media and its "*Democrat*" allies who romanticize immigration, most illegals come here for the excessively generous welfare benefits and the public assistance they are handed. These give them a higher standard of living than they could dream of in their previous Third World existence.

Unfortunately, most immigrants tend to bring their Third World cultures with them, and the refusal of many to assimilate -- or even learn the language -- quickly establishes "*barrios*" that are a glimpse at what awaits this country if illegal immigration isn't soon reversed. When given the opportunity, they tend to recreate here, the very Third World slums from which they fled.