

# ***NO-NONSENSE* Immigration Reform**

by Earl P. Holt III

While President Trump initiated many bold and essential reforms in the area of immigration -- such as his highly-effective "*Remain in Mexico*" policy and his border wall -- there are many more reforms that are desperately needed to resolve the problem of 30 million illegal alien parasites currently residing in this country. Barring a repeat of massive "*Democrat*" vote fraud in 2020, Trump should get his opportunity in 2025.

Some problems can be quickly remedied by federal legislation, but we must first retire Congressional Republicans who are owned by K Street immigration lobbyists and the Republican "*Donor Class*." Among these are Mitch McConnell and his entourage of Joni Ernst (RINO-IA,) John Cornyn (RINO-TX,) and John Thune (RINO-SD.) Other reforms will require a longer-range perspective, in particular, the reconsideration of the mistakenly-decided Supreme Court decision in *U.S. v. Arizona*. Such reforms will require more guts than Congress or The Court has shown in recent decades.

**In addition to familiar reforms such as reinstating "*Remain in Mexico*" and completing the border wall, it will be imperative to adopt incentives such as **ASSET FORFEITURE OF ILLEGAL ALIENS**. It's difficult to imagine any federal immigration legislation that would be more effective at encouraging self-deportation than the authorization of asset forfeiture by federal and state law enforcement agencies.**

Each federal and state government could announce that they will initiate **Asset Forfeiture** on a given date. Proceeds from asset forfeiture will ensure that U.S. taxpayers need no longer bear the full burden of

repatriating and deporting illegals by helping to fund federal, state and local law enforcement efforts without a tax increase. **The cost of funding the arrest and deportation of illegals would be shifted from taxpayers to those who are actually responsible for illegal immigration.**

Prior to announcing the new asset forfeiture policy, it will be necessary to restrict international wire transfers of cash to foreign lands by illegals using **Western Union** and similar companies. This will prevent illegals from evading asset forfeiture by divesting themselves of assets prior to voluntary repatriation or involuntary deportation. Some or part of the assets seized from illegals could be returned to them once they are repatriated to their nations of origin, creating a strong incentive for them to cooperate with immigration authorities in an expeditious manner.

There is a further need to complement an asset forfeiture policy with **financial incentives to identify and report illegals**. Federal legislation establishing financial incentives for reporting the locations of illegals would save federal and state authorities a great deal of time and resources by facilitating their capture and deportation. These financial incentives would simplify the arduous task facing **Immigration Control and Enforcement** (ICE) agents when attempting to deport an estimated 30 million illegal aliens in the years ahead.

Clearly, completing and maintaining President Trump's border wall must be among his highest priorities. In his second term, the balance of Trump's wall could be funded by **withholding foreign aid** from nations where the bulk of illegal immigration originates, such as Mexico, Guatemala, Honduras and El Salvador. That would be a more productive use of our **\$50 BILLION** annual **Foreign Aid Budget**, and would involve **pre-existing funds**. It would also send a **clear message** to Latin Nations that we do not intend to be a repository for the dregs of their society. Nations that truly cooperate with the U.S. to curb illegal immigration should not be penalized by having Foreign Aid withheld.



### Origins and Entrance Points of Illegal Immigration

Accompanying any policy of withholding foreign aid from nations enabling illegal aliens should be a policy to **withhold federal funds from "sanctuary" cities**, counties and states. States such as California, New York, Illinois, and Colorado will inevitably try to subvert its purpose, but withholding grants and funding would **cool their enthusiasm** for violating federal immigration laws by offering *sanctuaries* for illegals. The idiots who misgovern **BLUE** political entities will surely challenge such a policy, but the burden of funding lengthy court challenges would fall on them for a change.

Despite the lack of cooperation among some **BLUE** states, the federal government would still retain authority to enforce existing immigration laws. Federal immigration authorities would quickly recognize which states and counties were compliant, and could then more efficiently **target their resources** by concentrating their efforts on states with large populations of illegals, and where state and county authorities do **NOT** cooperate.

Another way to discourage the citizens of Latin nations from attempting to illegally invade the U.S. is to stimulate economic opportunity in their nations of origin, something most Latin American leaders seem glaringly incapable of accomplishing. One technique would be for philanthropic organizations, the State Department and private investors to establish **micro-banks**. These are **small, private lending institutions** that could be located in the poorer regions of Latin America to make modest and unsecured loans to those without access to capital.

Such small loans would enable borrowers to start their own businesses and begin the road to financial independence. They could be used to purchase tools, construction equipment, a taxi, startup money to open a taco stand or micro-brewery, or even to publish a newspaper, or for any purposes that entrepreneurs might envision with the exception of the drug trade. They could be capitalized by private investors, or by diverting foreign aid -- originally intended for the central governments of Latin nations -- which are usually embezzled by political authorities, anyway. Micro-banks would provide economic aid that **end-runs the corrupt governments** of Latin nations, and puts "*seed*" capital directly in the hands of potential entrepreneurs.

Serious reform efforts must also deny citizenship to so-called "**anchor babies**," a term used to describe the litters dropped by foreign nationals after they manage to sneak into the U.S. illegally. We must also end "**chain migration**," a policy which allows newly-legalized immigrants to



bring their entire extended nuclear families here. These will require federal legislation to finally end.

We must also end economic incentives for illegal immigrants. America already has enough parasites in the form of welfare recipients who make a career out of receiving **"free stuff"** from the Yankee Government. Illegals receive free food, free health care, free cell phones, subsidized rent, free public schools, and free transfers of income. These act as a magnet to impoverished inhabitants of Third World countries. As the late Nobel Laureate Milton Friedman warned us, no nation can offer both open borders and a welfare state. Any federal legislation involving immigration needs to include a provision **denying public assistance** of any kind to illegals, even emergency medical care. We will never stop the tsunami of illegal immigrants until we do so.



Finally, the Supreme Court foolishly ruled in ***U.S. v. Arizona*** that the federal government has sole authority to execute U.S. immigration policy, even where it willfully neglects its responsibility for enforcement. Yet, Article IV, Section 4 of the Constitution provides: "***The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them against Invasion...***" This provision of the Constitution was willfully ignored by the leftist majority that wrongly decided ***U.S. v. Arizona*** in 2012.

A sound tactic to encourage the Supreme Court to **reconsider *U.S. v. Arizona*** would be for Congress to enact a federal statute granting every state **coextensive and parallel authority** to enforce existing immigration laws, so long as their actions don't conflict with federal law. The Supreme Court must sometimes act blindly when there is no guidance from other branches, so such a statute might well persuade the Court to modify ***U.S. v. Arizona*** and allow state enforcement of federal immigration laws. This was the policy that prevailed until our first nigra, communist and Muslim president was elected in 2008.

Decades ago, Pat Buchanan wisely suggested that we adopt a **moratorium on immigration**. This would allow for a legitimate public debate and might end the monopoly on romanticized immigration narratives that leftist traitors somehow possess. Such a moratorium would offer the opportunity for so-called "*Democrats*" and squishy Republicans to demonstrate their true commitment to **immigration reform**. This would expose those who repeatedly deceive voters back home by giving lip service to immigration reform, while pocketing large donations from the K Street immigration lobby.

Despite the endless lies of the Jews' Media and its "*Democrat*" allies who romanticize immigration, most illegals come here for the excessively generous welfare benefits and the public assistance they are handed. These give them a higher standard of living than they could dream of in their previous Third World existence.

Unfortunately, most immigrants tend to bring their Third World cultures with them, and the refusal of many to assimilate -- or even learn the language -- quickly establishes "*barrios*" that are a glimpse at what awaits this country if illegal immigration isn't soon halted. Given the chance, they tend to recreate here, the very Third World slums from which they fled.



***"Honey, come meet the new neighbors!"***