

Even *Harvard* Gets It !

by Earl P. Holt III

Proving that even a blind squirrel can find an occasional acorn, ***Harvard University*** recently discovered that South Africa's economy has serious systemic flaws attributable to race and Marxism. Although its researchers cloak their belated conclusions in vague and ambiguous language -- to ensure its publication -- it's now obvious even to ***Harvard*** that blacks are capable of screwing-up the *Rock of Gibraltar*, just as I have stated on numerous occasions.

A recent report from ***Harvard's Center for International Development*** paints a dismal picture for South Africa's prospects. Titled "***Growth Through Inclusion in South Africa***," this 178-page report concludes exactly what every white nationalist in America anticipated 30 years ago: South Africa's economy is stagnating by becoming less diverse and less competitive. Essentially, its black-dominated government is doing for South Africa what America's black mayors have done for our large, urban areas here in the states.



South Africa's Government Brain-Trust

Following apartheid, South Africa became the darling of global leftists, who were certain it would become a model for the West's transition to "*multi-racial democracy.*" In 1995, South Africa had the 47th most diverse economy in the world, just behind China, with a blend of industry, mining and agriculture. Its prospects for growth prompted much optimism among foolish leftists and globalists, few of whom ever had any **REAL** contact with blacks beyond their own domestic help.

Yet, while the rest of the world experienced a decade of strong growth, South Africa's per-capita income has declined for over a decade, and its poverty rate is above 55 percent. Meanwhile, youth unemployment is above 60 percent and general unemployment exceeds 33 percent, which may be the highest unemployment rate in the world. Many South African households are highly dependent on government programs to maintain even niggardly income levels.

The *Harvard* report attributes this predicament to South Africa's "**collapsing state capacity,**" a meticulously selected euphemism for its inability to govern. The report identifies four specific causes for this systemic collapse of South Africa's capacity to govern: Gridlock created by its ruling coalition; Its adoption of an **ideology** that justifies exclusion; Overwhelmed government **welfare** programs; And government corruption through **political patronage**. What they really mean, but dare not say, is that South Africa's black government has proved itself too mentally and morally deficient to successfully govern anything.

As one author wisely observed:

"Those are not four different reasons for South Africa's government collapse. Rather, they are all the same reason. Every cause of South Africa's collapse... is, in reality, just a manifestation of the ruling ANC's reconstruction of the entire country along racial lines." (revolver.news, "*Harvard's Shocking Admission.*" Nov. 29, 2023.)

By *political patronage*, the report is referring to the Marxist practice of "*cadre deployment*," which means the staffing of every influential government position with loyal party-members, just as Stalin appointed Bolsheviks as commissars to staff his Soviet bureaucracies. This should **NOT** come as a surprise outside the ivory tower, since the *African National Congress* that rules South Africa has been joined at the hip with the *South African Communist Party* since the 1960s. This meant a radical redistribution of jobs from competent whites to hapless blacks.

Hilariously, the **Harvard** report calls for eliminating political patronage in South Africa and returning to a "*merit-based system*" that would be more inclusive of whites and others with the talent to actually govern. That's naive enough to have been written by some idiot at the **United Nations**: Communists **NEVER** relinquish power -- nor do n*ggers -- so that's about as likely to transpire in South Africa as a well-needed return to apartheid.



South African Parliamentary Deliberations

Accompanying *cadre deployment* is a second source of economic decline termed "**preferential procurement.**" This is the practice of favoring minority businesses by awarding contracts based on race rather than cost and competence. In practice, it rewards incompetent minorities that are not the low-bidders. Often, the latter will purchase resources from businesses that are NOT black-owned, and resell them to South Africa's government after a significant price mark-up. Race-based procurement also generates a great deal of fraud by encouraging white or Asian contractors to "*front*" their businesses with black figureheads in order to be eligible to bid on government contracts.

According to the *Harvard* report, just eliminating the practice of awarding government contracts based on race would add three percent to South Africa's annual *Gross Domestic Product*. That's because each year, preferential procurement costs South Africa an additional 28 percent in its agricultural, transportation and public works sectors.

There probably isn't a single member of the CofCC who could not have predicted this outcome 30 years ago. Psychometricians have demonstrated that the average black IQ across sub-Saharan Africa varies between 60 and 65. To expect any outcome other than the current debacle in South Africa is like expecting Jimmy Hoffa to appear one day on some reality TV show.