

## The REAL Communist Threat (part 2)

by Earl P. Holt III

(This is the second of a two-part article describing subversion of the Constitution by the *New Communist Party of the USA*.)

Readers may tire of me describing the so-called "Democrat" Party as the ***New Communist Party of the USA*** (NCPUSA,) but it's no exaggeration and it's definitely ***NO JOKE!*** For 70 years, the NCPUSA has worked to subvert many common-sense principles found in the U.S. Constitution, the practical source of our most cherished liberties. They've worked feverishly to replace the *Bill of Rights* and other provisions with tortured logic and phony "*interpretations*" designed to damage and undermine it.

The NCPUSA has succeeded in bringing profound damage upon this document, while exposing its animus for both the Constitution and the men who framed it. The NCPUSA's contempt stems from the limitations the Constitution imposes on their ability to subvert and destroy the United States. Specifically, it obstructs their efforts to usher in a Zionist, socialist and Afro-Marxist "*New World Order,*" run by the same kind of folks who brought you the *Bolshevik Revolution*. **Consider the damage they've done to several other Amendments within the Bill of Rights.**



**FOURTH AMENDMENT:** The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

FBI and DOJ holdovers from the Obama Administration repeatedly **perjured themselves** before four FISA courts to obtain illicit search warrants to spy on Donald Trump and several of his advisors between 2015 and 2018. This enabled the NCPUSA to continue their treasonous *coup* they named "*Crossfire-Hurricane*," which surveilled and wiretapped Donald Trump, both before and after his election. They hoped to find some misdeed Hillary Clinton could use against Trump in her uninspiring 2016 campaign. After the election, their ultimate goal was to destroy Trump by entrapping advisors like Carter Page, and forcing them to give false testimony against Trump to save themselves from indictment.

After eight years of both the Clinton and Obama regimes, the DOJ and FBI have become so **weaponized** as law enforcement agencies of the NCPUSA, that they've been more solicitous of serial murderers and terrorists than of Donald Trump. The FBI and DOJ's **unreasonable search** of Trump's home, *Mar-a-Lago*, was a clear violation of the Fourth Amendment's prohibition against what are called "**general**" searches. The *Mar-a-Lago* search warrant was a fishing expedition that failed to comply with the Fourth Amendment's requirement that search warrants specifically describe "**the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.**"

Law enforcement can't just forcibly enter your home and search every corner for unspecified evidence, as it clearly did by rummaging through Melania Trump's *boudoir* and every corner of *Mar-a-Lago*. It's no surprise that even some of Trump's lawyers -- who were present at *Mar-a-Lago* during the raid -- were **DENIED** an opportunity to see the FBI's

search warrant. That's because it was unconstitutional, and its sole purpose was to provide a corrupt and traitorous DOJ with an excuse to falsely charge Trump with possessing "*classified documents*" that he had previously ***declassified*** as Chief Executive.

Soon after the unconstitutional raid on *Mar-a-Lago*, the FBI also had the audacity to unconstitutionally seize the cell phones of former Law Professor John Eastman and *House Judiciary Committee* member Scott Perry (R-PA-10,) both of whom are Trump allies who recognize that the NCPUSA stole the 2020 election. Clearly, the FBI and DOJ are far more inclined to acknowledge the Constitutional Rights of serial murderers, terrorists, and psychopathic gang-bangers, than they are innocent, but outspoken members of their political opposition.

**SIXTH AMENDMENT:** In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense.

It is an outrage that January 6th protestors have been intentionally denied their right to a "*speedy trial*" and to the "*Assistance of Counsel*" by NCPUSA officials. The latter have been ordered by the Pharisees of the NCPUSA to punish them for having the temerity to question what was clearly a dishonest election in 2020. For those reasons, alone, these defendants should be released and their indictments rescinded. Moreover, in the cesspool that ***IS NOW*** Washington, DC, no conservative, Republican, or Libertarian can possibly receive a fair trial from the trash, criminals and parasites who populate it, so an *impartial jury* is about as likely as a leprechaun astride a unicorn.



The NCPUSA is doing essentially the same thing to Donald Trump that it has done to January 6th protestors. The niggress judge in Trump's DC case has sabotaged his Attorney-Client privilege, and has even attempted to coerce testimony from his lawyers about private details of Trump's case, using the threat of contempt and incarceration. This may be unprecedented in American law, and clearly unconstitutional. The niggress judge on the case is a member of the NCPUSA and an Obama appointee, so of course she is as corrupt and treasonous as Obama and the rest of her party of kikes, coons, queers and communists. The Attorney-Client Privilege is even granted to international drug cartel members, serial murderers, and traitors who sell classified documents to our enemies, such as Joe Biden and Hillary Clinton have probably done.

The tactics of this niggress judge sitting on Trump's case are reminiscent of another n\*gger federal judge, Emmet Sullivan, the corrupt black bastard who sat on General Michael Flynn's criminal case. When the charges against Flynn were exposed to be totally fraudulent -- and fabricated by the same crooked FBI and DOJ officials who orchestrated

***Crossfire Hurricane*** against Trump -- this n\*gger unconstitutionally and illegally assumed concurrent responsibility for **PROSECUTING** General Flynn, despite his role as a supposedly-objective arbiter sitting on Flynn's criminal trial. (It appears these n\*gger NCPUSA federal judges need a civics lesson, and then a quick impeachment and civil lawsuit.)

Speaking of corrupt blacks in positions of authority, the two George-Soros funded, n\*gger prosecutors in Manhattan and Fulton County, GA, both campaigned for their current offices on a pledge to indict Trump. The idea that Donald Trump could get a fair trial from either of these black bastards in their respective counties is as likely as Jimmy Hoffa showing up as a witness at either trial. In fact, it's obvious the NCPUSA and these two black bastards are merely attempting to interfere with Trump's 2024 campaign for president, in which he leads every other contender including that shell of a human being wrongly occupying the Oval Office.

Likewise, it turns out that the *January 6th Committee* in the House has destroyed its own records, including massive exonerating evidence that would have benefitted Donald Trump in his criminal trial in Washington, DC. Much of it was obscured by that committee -- made up exclusively of NCPUSA members and Trump-haters -- in order for DOJ prosecutors to bring phony charges of a Trump-led "*insurrection*" on January 6th, 2021. In turn, the Special Counsel's office has also engaged in endless leaks to bias and contaminate the jury pool in Washington, which is about as superfluous as contaminating a cesspool.

**EIGHTH AMENDMENT:** Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

In *Furman v. Georgia* (1972,) the U.S. Supreme Court arbitrarily and capriciously concocted a new Constitutional "*doctrine*" from thin air by adopting its own personal sentiments about capital punishment. The

leftist majority ruled that capital punishment violated the Eighth Amendment's prohibition against "*cruel and unusual punishment*." To an NCPUSA majority driven by ideology, It didn't matter that the Constitution specifically mentions capital punishment in four places, and then prescribes the conditions under which it may take place. It should come as no surprise to knowledgeable people that murder rates in the U.S. have accelerated in inverse proportion to the decline in U.S. executions.

Also, it would not have mattered to the leftist majority in that opinion that every subsequent empirical study has found capital punishment to be a powerful **general deterrent** to murder, which spared the lives of as many as 18 innocent victims for each execution. (H. Dezhbakhsh and J. Shepherd. "*The Deterrent Effect of Capital Punishment*." *Economic Inquiry*, Vol 44, July of 2006.) In his review of the most recent studies of the deterrent effect of capital punishment before the *Senate Judiciary Committee* in February of 2006, former Reagan Chief Economic Advisor Paul Rubin summarized the literature in this manner: "*The literature is easy to summarize: Almost all modern studies and all the refereed studies find a significant deterrent effect of capital punishment...*"

**NINTH AMENDMENT:** The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

**TENTH AMENDMENT:** The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are **reserved to the States** respectively, or to the people.

In 2012, a U.S. Supreme Court dominated by NCPUSA members foolishly ruled in *U.S. v. Arizona* that the federal government has *sole* authority to execute U.S. immigration policy, **even when it willfully abdicates its responsibility to enforce U.S. immigration laws**. To reach this ridiculous

decision, the Supreme Court conveniently ignored the Ninth and Tenth Amendments and other provisions of the Constitution. The result has been at least eight million illegal aliens crossing our southern border since the Biden Administration took office in January of 2021.

Yet, Article IV, Section 4 of the U.S. Constitution states: "*The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them against Invasion...*" In light of the Ninth Amendment's "*deny and disparage clause*" -- and the Tenth Amendment's "*reserve clause*" -- Article 4, Section 4, should have **COMPELLED** any intellectually honest Supreme Court justice to grant every state co-extensive and parallel authority to enforce U.S. immigration laws within each of their own state boundaries. That was the way it was done for 50 years, and the only thing the State of Arizona was attempting to do prior to *U.S. v. Arizona* in 2012.



**Americans should be wary of traitors who seek to revise or subvert the genius of the Constitution, which has allowed America to endure for two and a half-centuries despite the relentless efforts of the NCPUSA over the past century following the Bolshevik Revolution. We should be extremely wary of those who would seek to transform America's**

**Constitution into an instrument capable of reproducing the Soviet *Gulag*, Mao's "*Cultural Revolution*," Castro's firing squads, or the killing fields of Cambodia's *Khmer Rouge*.**

The left's real objection to the Constitution is that it has too many provisions that impede its adoption of a Marxist or socialist government with the power to expropriate any civil rights it desires. These Constitutional safeguards are anathema to every communist, socialist and other would-be tyrant or dictator.