REAL Immigration Reform

by Earl P. Holt III

While President Trump initiated many bold and essential reforms in the area of immigration -- such as his *ultra*-effective "*Remain in Mexico*" policy and his border wall -- there are many more reforms that are desperately needed to resolve the problem of 40 million illegal aliens residing in this country. Barring a repetition of massive "*Democrat*" vote fraud in 2020, he should get his opportunity in 2024.

Some problems can be quickly remedied by federal legislation, but we must first retire Congressional Republicans who are owned by K Street immigration lobbyists, such as Mitch McConnell and his entire entourage of Joni Ernst (R-IA,) John Thune (R-SD,) and John Barrasso (R-WY.) (Roy Blunt of Missouri is retiring.) Other reforms will require a long-range perspective and in particular, a reconsideration of at least one mistakenly-decided prior Supreme Court decision. All reforms will require more guts than Congress or the Supreme Court has shown for decades.

Enact an Immigration Moratorium: First, as Pat Buchanan wisely suggested decades ago, we need a moratorium on all immigration. It would allow for a real public debate for once, and not allow the Jews' Media, the Republican "Donor Class," Third World garbage and the traitors who call themselves "Democrats" to dictate U.S. immigration policy any longer. A moratorium would offer the opportunity for Congressional Republicans to show their true commitment to reform, rather than continue to deceive their constituents by giving it "lip service" while pocketing donations from the K Street immigration lobby.

Divert Foreign Aid to Build the Wall: Clearly, completing and maintaining President Trump's wall on our southern border must be our highest priority. In his second term, the balance of Mr. Trump's wall could be

funded with monies withheld from Foreign Aid that were originally earmarked for Latin American nations -- such as Mexico, Guatemala and Honduras -- where the bulk of illegal immigration originates. This would be a beneficial use of pre-existing funds, and would send a clear message to Latin Nations that we do not intend to be a repository for the dregs of their society. Nations which truly cooperate with the U.S. in curbing illegal immigration would not be penalized by having Foreign Aid withheld.

Financial Incentives to Report Illegals: Ideally, a bounty should be established for illegal immigrants in the U.S., which any citizen would be eligible to receive after they capture and deliver illegal immigrants to law enforcement or immigration officials. That's not going to happen due to its potential for violence. However, federal legislation creating financial incentives for citizens to REPORT illegals to U.S. immigration authorities -- and provide information that leads directly to their capture and deportation -- should be included in immigration reform legislation. Such legislation would simplify the difficult task facing *Immigration Control and Enforcement* (ICE) in the years ahead.

Reverse the Decision in *U.S. v. Arizona*: In 2012, the Supreme Court ruled in *U.S. v. Arizona* that the federal government has sole authority over immigration laws, even where it willfully neglects its responsibility for their enforcement. One approach to encourage the Supreme Court to reconsider *U.S. v. Arizona* would be for Congress to enact a federal statute granting every state coextensive and parallel authority to enforce existing immigration laws, so long as they don't conflict with federal law. The Supreme Court sometimes acts when there is little or no guidance from other branches, and such a law might well persuade the Court to modify its decision in *U.S. v. Arizona* and allow state laws that complement federal immigration laws.

Asset Forfeiture of Illegals: It is difficult to imagine federal immigration legislation that would be more effective at encouraging self-deportation

than laws granting Asset Forfeiture by the federal government and all 50 states. Each state and the federal government could warn illegal aliens in advance that they will initiate Asset Forfeiture on a given date. Instead of U.S. citizens bearing its costs, the sums collected from Asset Forfeiture would help fund each state's enforcement efforts without necessitating a tax increase, so those actually responsible for illegal immigration would fund the costs of their own deportation. Some or part of the assets seized from illegals might be returned to them once they return to their nations of origin, creating an incentive to cooperate with immigration authorities.

Withhold Funds From "Sanctuary" States: The idiots who govern BLUE states such as California, New York, Illinois, Vermont, Delaware, Colorado, Connecticut and Hawaii would inevitably attempt to subvert the purpose of any federal immigration reform efforts. Withholding federal funds from those states would cool their enthusiasm for providing "sanctuaries" for illegals. Despite the lack of cooperation among some BLUE states, the federal government would still retain the authority to enforce existing immigration laws. Federal immigration authorities would quickly recognize which states and counties were compliant, and could then more efficiently target their resources by concentrating their efforts on states with large populations of illegals and where state and county authorities were uncooperative.

"Anchor Babies": Serious reform efforts must deny citizenship to so-called "anchor babies," who are merely the litters dropped by illegal immigrants, themselves. We must also end "chain immigration," which permits newly-legal immigrants to bring their entire extended nuclear families here. These will require federal legislation to finally end.

End Free Stuff: America already has enough parasites in the form of welfare recipients who've made a career out of receiving "*free stuff*" from the Yankee Government. 71% of all Hispanic-surnamed immigrants -- both legal and illegal -- receive at least one of the eight federal welfare

programs. As the late Nobel Laureate Milton Friedman warned us, you cannot simultaneously have both open borders and a welfare state. Any federal legislation involving immigration needs to include a provision denying public assistance of any kind to illegals, even emergency medical care. We will never stop the flow of illegal immigrants until we do so.

Micro-Banking: One way to discourage the citizens of Latin nations from attempting to illegally invade the U.S. is to stimulate economic opportunity in their native lands. One technique would be for philanthropic organizations, the State Department and private investors to establish micro-banks. These are small, private lending institutions that could be located in poorer regions of Latin America to make modest loans to those without access to capital in order to start their own small businesses and begin their road to financial independence. Such loans could be used to purchase tools, construction equipment, a taxi, startup money to open a taco stand or liquor store, or for any purposes that entrepreneurs might envision. They could be capitalized by private investors or by diverting Foreign Aid originally intended for the central governments of Latin countries, where such funds are often stolen by political authorities. Micro-banks would end-run the governments of Latin nations.

Despite the endless lies of the Jews' Media and its "Democrat" allies who "romanticize" immigration, most illegals come here for the excessively generous welfare benefits and the public assistance they are handed. These give them a higher standard of living than they could ever dream of in their previous Third World existence. Free food, free health care, subsidized rent, free public schools, free transfers of income, and subsidized loans from the **Small Business Administration** act as a magnet to impoverished inhabitants of Third World countries.

Unfortunately, most immigrants tend to bring their cultures with them, and their refusal to assimilate quickly establishes "barrios" that are a

glimpse at what awaits this country if illegal immigration isn't soon eliminated or at least profoundly discouraged They will often recreate here, the very slums from which they fled.