

The Dog That Didn't Bark

by Earl P. Holt III

In one of Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's *Sherlock Holmes* mysteries, "***The Adventure of Silver Blaze***," Holmes is able to infer the identity of the culprit who stole this valuable racehorse and murdered its Trainer. He was able to deduce both crimes were an "*inside job*" because the stable's guard dog never barked.

Those of us with lesser skills may take a lesson from this principle, allowing us to safely infer something based on the absence of some other associated phenomenon. This is a skill sometimes referred to as "*reverse reasoning*," and one that every conservative should develop.

In light of the enormous and unprecedented irregularities that occurred during the 2020 Presidential Election, one might think the *Justice Department* and FBI would be concerned about election integrity and investigate. Those irregularities included long interruptions in the tabulating process in certain **BLUE** counties and enormous "*dumps*" of ballots and votes to the "*Democrat*" candidate in the middle of the night when no one was present to observe.

No such luck: Attorney General Bill Barr -- a man who did nothing but talk tough for two years -- heeded the advice of Mitch McConnell and turned a blind eye to the stolen election. For McConnell and Barr, this was part of their price of admission to America's "*political class*," an elite membership that's never offered to those who "*rock the boat*." It entitles one to sit on corporate Boards of Directors after retirement from public office, and receive hundreds-of-thousands of dollars per year in return for very little effort. Hence, these dogs never barked.

Similarly, election officials from BOTH parties in Arizona have lied, created roadblocks and attempted to sabotage the Arizona Senate's efforts to audit the 2020 Presidential Election every inch of the way. Most recently, Maricopa County Election officials steadfastly refuse to turn over items subpoenaed by the Arizona Senate that are essential to completion of their audit.

It's clear that some of these officials are "*Democrats*" passing as Republicans, who wish to obscure the massive voter fraud perpetrated by "*Democrats*" in Maricopa County, in which certain Republicans were complicit. All are potentially liable -- both politically and civilly -- for allowing an election to be stolen on their watch. They are also potentially vulnerable to charges of criminal conspiracy to steal an election. These dogs didn't bark, either: it will be interesting if they continue to defy a court order to turn over subpoenaed materials.

The origins of the *ChinaVirus* provide another example of a dog that didn't bark. The **World Health Organization** (WHO) has finally submitted a proposal for scientists and public health officials to investigate whether the **Wuhan Institute of Virology** (WIV) was the origin of the COVID-19 virus. China has rejected this most recent proposal, just as it has rejected every other proposal to investigate the WIV.

The WHO's Director General -- an incompetent African Marxist named Tedros Adhanom -- misled the world for many months by parroting China's lies about the origins and transmissibility of the *ChinaVirus*. He willingly did so because he owed his job to China's aggressive lobbying for him back in 2017. Tedros has done everything in his power to obstruct attempts by the international scientific and public health communities to investigate the WIV.

What's more disturbing is that America's *Pentagon* and Anthony Fauci's *National Institute for Allergies and Infectious Diseases* helped fund the

WIV with millions laundered through *EcoHealth Alliance* of New York. It turns out that the WIV was engaged in VIROLOGIC WEAPONS RESEARCH for China's military, AND WE PAID FOR IT! Yet, very few American public health authorities seem interested in pursuing this investigation and Fauci has gone *incommunicado*. No barking here, either.

In each of these examples, there is a glaring abdication of responsibility on the part of certain government officials to cooperate or participate in efforts to investigate some extremely serious matters. Their lack of interest begs inferences about their motives. When enough evidence has accumulated, a refusal to acknowledge conclusive evidence infers either stupidity or complicity.