Citizens Informer P.O. Box 221683 St. Louis, MO 63122

Vol. 49, No. 1 (Jan—Mar 2016)

Address Service Requested

TO ALL MEMBERS:

Sending out Membership Renewals with Self-Addressed Stamped Envelopes (SASE) is a luxury the CofCC cannot currently afford. For this reason, we must ask members to use their own envelopes and stamps to renew their 2016 memberships. This will save us a great deal.

Please don't procrastinate, because Membership Renewals are needed to continue the activities of the CofCC – particularly the *CITIZENS INFORMER* – and little can be done without them.

We must also ask that members do so with either a **personal check or a Money-Order**, because the idiots and quislings at "Pay-Pal" have dropped us as clients. (Pay-Pal was of little use, anyway, except for those very few members who used it to renew.)


We also invite anyone who is able to do so, to receive the *CITIZENS INFORMER* electronically -- as e-mail -- rather than as "hard-copy."

In such a dishonest age as this, it is essential that there be at least one fearless, honest and accurate voice where issues involving race are concerned. If the organization has ever meant anything to you, this is the time to act by renewing your Membership.

About time you became a member?

All members of the CofCC receive a subscription to the Citizens	Name:
Informer newspaper plus a free copy of the DVD "The Frankfurt School Story" and more.	Address:
Please Check One:	
() One Year Membership / Renewal \$36	City:
() Two Year Membership / Renewal \$50	
() Five Year Membership / Renewal \$100	State & Zip:
() Lifetime Membership \$500	
All life members will receive a special life membership certificate, suitable for framing, a gold Life Membership Card (laminated) and a CofCC lapel pin.	Phone:
[] Yes, I want to join the CofCC	Send my <i>Citizens Informer</i> to me by email.
[] Please renew my membership	Charge my VISA MC Discover (Circle one)
Send with your Check or Money Order payable to: Council of Conservative Citizens P.O. Box 1522	Card#
St Charles, MO 63302-1522	Signature

www.cofcc.org



3

Citizens' Informer P.O. Box 221683 St. Louis, MO 63122

ISSN: 0887-3186

In Memoriam: Samuel Francis, Ph.D. Editor 1999-2005 Fred C. Jennings, Founder Gordon Lee Baum, CofCC C.E.O.

> Sidney Secular, Editor-in-Chief Earl P. Holt, III, Articles Editor Layout by Mad Prussian

Editorial Advisory Board: Brent Nelson, Ph.D. Miles Wolpin, J.D., Ph.D. Jared Taylor Keith Alexander, esq.

The *Citizens' Informer* contains a wide variety of views and opinions. The views expressed in articles, letters and advertised materials are those of the author or contributor and do not necessarily represent the views of the editor, publisher or the Council of Conservative Citizens.

> The Citizens' Informer is published by the Tri-State Informer/CofCC. www.cofcc.org

Letter From The Editor
The President's Column
Rewriting America08 More Executions, Fewer Murders26
Every Texan - Alamo Remembered.11 Fears of a Millennial
Camp of the Saints - Book Review12 Traditionalist Worker Party
European Economy Collapse15 The Invasion of Europe
The Media & Inter-Racial Crime16 Feds Struggle to Exclude Whites34
Worst-Ever Union War Crime?18 News You May Have Missed35
Liberal Democracy / Nationalism20 CofCC Legacy Society
Fighting Terrorism Since 186122

The Council of Conservative Citizens welcomes our supporters to advertise in *The Citizens' Informer*. We can work with most artwork that you have, or we can create something for your needs.

For advertising information and rates, write to: CofCC, P.O. Box 250, Potosi MO 63664

LETTER FROM THE EDITOR

BE AN EDITOR, TOO!

As readership falls away from the mainstream media, YOU can fill that vacuum. Your computer is your news desk; you are now the alternative news editor. You can be as influential as any newspaper editor.

First of all, email selected friends, associates, and anyone else whom you may think might be interested. You don't have to know them personally. Say something like: "Dear Sir/Madam. The same as millions of others, we feel betrayed by the biased and politicized Corrupt Leftist Media promoting only the interests of minorities, the elites, and the establishment. Each day we scan alternative media and emails sent by like-minded associates for news of interest to ethnic Europeans and true patriots. Without any charge or commitment, and in complete privacy, may we add you to our emailing list to receive media-censored news stories which you can perhaps forward to like-minded friends? Cordially, John or Jane Patriot".

Build up your emailing list of, "Yes, I am interested." replies. Each day peruse online news stories that you think deserve a wider readership, and if you see something newsworthy, say or do something. With a click or two of the mouse, you can ready your entire email list for a transmission; and then with another click you can send off the story, with or without your personal comments added thereto.

A 1960s small town newspaper editor would kill for that kind of immediacy and spread. That editor had to work at it all day; all you need is a few minutes of your time. This is the power and importance of sharing. It is now yours to enjoy.

NEWSDESK STATUS:

REPORTER-EMAIL LIST OF 50 TO 100;

JOURNALIST—EMAIL LIST OF 100 TO 200

COLUMNIST-EMAIL LIST OF 200 TO 250

EDITOR-EMAIL LIST OF 250+

Sidney Secular

ALL SUBMISSIONS TO THE CITIZEN'S INFORMER MUST MEET THE FOLLOWING GUIDELINES:

- 1. Submissions must be 1,000 words or less, unless the author is specifically given permission from the Editorial Staff to exceed this limit;
- 2. Authors should carefully proof their own work before submitting it;
- 3. Ideally, submissions should be in the form of e-mail Attachments, in a format that can be easily down-loaded.

Jan. – Mar. 2016 President's Column: THE PHENOMENON OF DONALD TRUMP

One of the most poignant scenes in film appeared in *"The Bridge Over the River Kwai,"* after Colonel Nicholson — Commanding Officer of the British P.O.W.s in the film — volunteered his men's expertise to help his Japanese enemy build a bridge to complete their Bangkok to Rangoon Railway.

In this scene, Nicholson and his officers are sitting down with the brutal Japanese Commandant Saito and his engineers: Midway through the technicalities – one in which Nicholson asks numerous questions of his subordinate officers, and they in turn offer opinions -- Col. Nicholson asks Col. Saito if it would be possible to have tea served, so the meeting might continue uninterrupted.

In his imperious command-voice, Saito hollers to the nearest subordinate officer, who in turn hollers to the nearest subordinate, on down the line of command six or seven times. The British officers are clearly bemused by this display of inefficiency.

What Director David Lean illustrated with this scene was nothing less than an essential difference between democracies and all forms of tyranny, whether Feudalism – as in the case of Imperial Japan – or any of the various forms of Marxism we see today.

In the presence of democratic institutions, the flow of information is both up and down the chain of command, while the flow is universally DOWN the chain of command in their absence.

By the end of this meeting, it was evident from his demeanor and posture that Saito recognized Japan could not possibly defeat the hated British, with their superior managerial skills and infinitely more efficient methods of organization.

ENTER DONALD TRUMP

Donald Trump appeals to the Powerless in this country for the simple reason that there is no longer any flow of information up the "chain of command" in America, from the Powerless to the governing and Powerful "Elite" who constitute our "Political Class."

For 30 years, Middle America and those of us in "flyover country" have had no effective means of redressing grievances, and we have had no champion since Ronald Reagan.

The Powerless are middle-class Americans, primarily white and Christian, whose voices are rarely heeded by the Powerful, although there is no shortage of mandates imposed upon them by the Powerful, including even a perverse definition of marriage and the obligation to recognize and accept it.

These Middle Americans have been ignored and impugned by the Powerful, which has ridiculed their faith in the Bible, their support of the Constitution – particularly the Second Amendment -- as well as their opposition to the variety of plagues forced upon them by the Political Class of both parties.

The Powerless have also had insult added to injury, because the Powerful Elite have always insulated and immunized themselves against the very plagues they created and then imposed upon the Powerless.

"LET THEM EAT CAKE"

Adopting the latest fashionable notions of leftist Faculty Lounges, the Powerful – much like the Bourbons and Romanovs before them – have made the lives of decent and honest citizens quite hazardous and al-

PRESIDENT'S COLUMN (Continued from page 6)

most unbearable through their arrogance and incompetence.

In the name of "Equality," the Powerful have made many public school systems terrible and dangerous places through school desegregation and the dumbeddown curriculum imposed by "Education" Department grifters: Meanwhile, they send their OWN children to *Sidwell Friends* or some equally prestigious and elite private school.

In pursuit of the illusion of "multiculturalism," they have turned our large inner cities into dangerous and violent cesspools, from which the Powerful – with Security Details in tow -- flee on a nightly basis to their gated communities or suburban oases.

In the name of "Free Trade," the Powerful have exported much of our manufacturing base to the Pacific Rim, oblivious to what those manufacturing jobs mean to middle-class Americans, but confident that their own offspring will never need such jobs.

Worst of all, in pursuit of "cheap" labor, nannies and gardeners, the Powerful have opened our borders to a Tsunami consisting of tens of millions of unskilled and frequently dangerous Third World immigrants, for whom democratic institutions and an American Ethos is as alien as Integral Calculus.

OUTSIDERS AND UNKNOWN QUANTITIES

Time and again, in an effort to counter the tyranny of the Powerful, the Powerless have spoken their minds through State Referenda, only to see these initiatives overturned by Federal Judges – the henchmen of the Powerful Elite -- who brazenly over-reach their enumerated Article III powers in effectuating their Marxist ideology.

Similarly, many times these Powerless Middle Americans have attempted reform through the ballot-box, only to see many of their elected champions seduced by the Powerful Elite in Washington, and then become poachers instead of the game-keepers the electorate intended.

In a letter to James Madison, Thomas Jefferson once stated that "...a little rebellion, now and then, is a good thing."

Having been betrayed by the Powerful Elite in both political parties for 30 years, it is patently obvious that Trump supporters are willing to nominate almost ANY unknown quantity over the known quantity, whose betrayals are evident on a daily basis.

Thoughts to Ponder

Some Meaningful Quotes Collected by Sid Secular

--The "politically correct" mentality is meant for slaves and cowards."--Dr. D. Kostadinov

--"You can't wait around for someone else to act."--Edward Snowden

--"You can't conquer a free man. The most you can do is kill him."--Robert Heinlein

--"Democracy is the pathetic belief in the collective wisdom of individual ignorance". H. L. Mencken

--"The further a society drifts from truth, the more it will hate those who speak it". George Orwell

--"In times of deceit, the truth is revolutionary." George Orwell

Rewriting America by Thomas Conway

"Lies become the truth, and the truth has become lies." -- attributed to George Orwell

When you set out to destroy a nation and its culture, you will invariably attack it on multiple fronts. You will ensure the steady erasure of property, gun and privacy rights, and you will ensure there are growing entitlements and a heavy burden of taxes to support them, ultimately leading to an economic collapse that will allow you to rebuild from the ashes. You will pretend to work -- with well-intended motives -- to redistribute wealth, to introduce socialism into the healthcare system, to alter the country's demographics, and to promote legalized discrimination against the nation's heretofore dominant groups. You will even engage in previously unheard of social engineering, forcibly inserting the poor into middle class neighborhoods, and gleefully erasing your nation's borders to further destabilize the status quo.

With the taste of blood in your mouth, you'll have fun with all of that, but in conjunction with such assaults you will also conduct a full frontal attack against the nation's existing historical narrative, in an effort to deconstruct it and reinvent it. You will never, never, never question the morality of doing any of the things you are doing. You will be smug and righteous in your piety, and your agenda will brook no dissent or introspection.

We can see this unquestioning certainty in the recent loud controversy over the lack of "diversity" in the Academy Awards, a lack of diversity both within the Academy's nominating membership and its Oscar nominees. The need to reinvent the Academy's membership to reflect America's changing demographics is now described as being paramount. Be that as it may, absolutely nowhere in this discussion does anyone ever question the morality of the entirely unrequested and jet-speed reinvention of the American people -- all in a single human lifetime -- that is the wellspring of this controversy. And white Americans are now so devoid of any sense of group identity that it never even occurs to anyone to raise this as an issue.

To repeat our original premise, when your intent is to purge a people out of existence, one of the tactics is to rewrite and reinvent portions of their history and culture. Today, if we are willing to perceive it, there is just such a formidable effort to reinvent America's historical record, and it can only be a precursor of things to come.

One method of reinvention is to insert our society's emerging groups (Blacks, Hispanics, Arabs, Asians, etc.) into the historical narrative to make it appear that they played a substantive role in it, even if the participation is embellished or entirely imaginary. On a recent early morning walking tour of Arlington National Cemetery, I was part of a group navigating its way through the cemetery's monuments and graves, eventually ending up at an unassuming marker dedicated to the sacrifices of the vaunted Tuskegee Airmen, the first Negro airmen group, which served in World War Two. The tour guide, reading from a prepared script intended for walking tours, regaled us with information about the unit. They had flown over 30,000 combat missions, had never lost a single bomber they had accompanied into Axis airspace, and had out-performed similar groups of American airmen. They had struggled against both racial segregation and America's adversaries, triumphing over both, suffering heroic losses, and producing numerous ace fighters. Their highly decorated bravery and valor led to the dismantling of racial segregation in the armed forces, and helped pave the way for America's acceptance of racial diversity, both in the armed forces and in our society at large.

After this information had been presented, our group began to move forward to the next monument of note, but I was suddenly unable to put one foot in front of the other. I was aware we'd just received a large dose of propaganda for our breakfast, and I began to attempt, diplomatically and cautiously, to pose gentle objections. On the faces of the children in our group, there wasn't the remotest trace of comprehension of my objections. In the faces of the adults, I perceived a familiar combination of mild dread and annoyance, the kind that appears whenever anyone dares to contradict the authority of an official line of chicken manure. My softly worded contradictions were politely pushed aside, and we continued our tour. But I remember looking at the towheads,

Rewriting America (Continued from page 8)

cavorting amongst the headstones in the sunlight, and being filled with a terrible despair for their future.

They'd been fed a pack of lies.

The Tuskegee Airmen made a contribution to the air war in World War Two, that much is indeed true, but they never flew any 30,000 combat missions. The 30,000 figure had included each "sortie flight," and in that regard is a calculation of each time a plane took off and landed with a Negro pilot, regardless of whether it was on a combat mission or delivering office supplies to an military depot in Nebraska. The Tuskegee Airmen, who numbered fewer than a thousand pilots altogether, flew a total of slightly more than 300 combat flights, which works out to a third of one combat flight per pilot. If one looks at the total number of flights during World War Two, a total of 2.3 million combat flights are recorded as having occurred. The significance of the Tuskegee contribution to that total is thus imaginary.

All deaths in a war are tragic, and no death should be diminished in its importance. It is nonetheless true that between 66 and 68 Tuskegee airmen died on combat flights, depending on the source cited. Overall, however, a total of 88,000 American airmen died in the war, the vast, vast majority of whom were white. This makes the black airmen percentage of deaths so miniscule that it does not even register on a calculator as a percentage of the total deaths; to the extent that it does register, it registers as a zero. Even so, today, in a manner which can and should rankle, any commemoration of World War Two's air war features Tuskegee Airmen, front and center, blinking, probably even to their own amazement, at their superstar status.

Even on the closest of examinations, the Tuskegee Airmen were no celebrities in the war effort; their performance record, despite the hype, was substandard at best. According to available records, they produced no ace fighters, and they lost a total of 25 bombers that they were assigned to protect, resulting in the deaths of bomber crew members in their totality or partiality, depending on the specific bomber. This was no stellar performance, and, according to existing performance statistics, the group ranked amongst the bottom performers, making them at best mediocrities and at worst a powerful early example of the potentially lethal consequences of affirmative action run amuck.

While it can be argued that individuals in the group performed in an exemplary manner, most were apparently simply present and breathing. No matter, all have received medals for sacrifices above and beyond the call of duty. They were battling racial barriers, and that, alone, it is reasoned, should suffice for them to be glorified. No matter that the majority of World War Two's dead combatants were disproportionately the white males who in effect spared the Tuskegees from the racial cleansing that would have followed if the Axis powers had been successful in extending their domain throughout the planet.

They say one grows accustomed to living in the glare of stage lights. They say one grows comfortable in them over time, coming to believe the stage is one's rightful place in the world. Yet this ballyhooed presence, like many others, is a lie perpetuated by the Tuskegees' own publicity office, exceptional in that no similarly small combat unit possesses anything like it. It is also a lie that is routinely promoted by Hollywood, academia, and our government, undoubtedly because they have an agenda also.

Hundreds of myths like the Tuskegee Airmen exist, and they are proliferating along with diversity ideology itself, an ideology which contends that there is a correlation between increasing a nation's internal diversity and increasing that nation's corresponding "enrichment" and strength. Like the myth of the Tuskegee Airmen, "diversity" too is a fraud which flies gleefully into the face of reality.

In diversity ideology, those who were once footnotes in history are now presented as some of its major players. And seldom does the truth get in the way of diversity's new "facts" and legends. This pathology no doubt started as an effort to achieve inclusion; you give minor players a place in the national narrative in order to promote feelings of inclusiveness and solidarity. It has even so managed to morph into monstrous lies, evidenced again and again in documentaries, movies, and the national discourse. It has morphed into a rewriting of history wherein largely or completely fictitious niches have been created for peoples whose ancestors were often not even physically present in America to be participants in our history. It is manifested each time an Asian barmaid appears adorned in a boa in a saloon in a cowboy mov-

Rewriting America (Continued from page 9)

ie. It is manifested when Crispus Attucks is the third of only three personal profiles presented in a history book's chapter about the American Revolutionary War, directly or indirectly conveying the message that Negroes were a third of the activity involved in executing the American War for Independence -- and in which, in reality, they played almost no part whatsoever. It is for the same reason manifested when Doris Miller, a black combatant at Pearl Harbor, is the third of only three personal profiles presented in the same history book's chapter about World War Two. It is manifested in the movie "Flyboys," a "real-life" film about World War One aviators, when Abdul Salis depicts Eugene Bullard, a black American airman participating in the war alongside white airmen, even though the number of black aviators in World War One can be counted on one hand. No matter, in this "real-life" film, it is now our duty to build a big tent. A circus tent.

Yes, those who were once conspicuously absent in our history -- or constituted its footnotes -- are now handed leading roles. And ultimately, this reworking and retooling of history can only result in its complete confiscation as the Old America dwindles into oblivion. It may even devolve into darker things. Today we are no longer witnessing efforts just to achieve access to American institutions and a place in them; we are now witnessing turf wars, struggles for ownership and domination in our institutions, the end results of which may culminate in our entire banishment from both these institutions and the narrative itself. Does anyone with an extensive knowledge of human history really believe this to be impossible? Are there not precedents throughout history in which traditionally dominant groups have had their domination usurped and their narrative replaced? We should have no doubt that in time, we may well get shoved off the stage completely. What we are witnessing is not just a rewriting of the national story to include other groups; we are watching relentlessly aggressive assaults on the Old America's values, heroes and legends. Foremost in these assaults are the ones presently directed at Confederate icons and heroes, and all executed, absurdly, by judging those icons and heroes on the basis of modern moral standards. Never you fear, sweet comrade, this rampage will advance in time to include the dislodging of the Minutemen statues of New England and luminaries like Washington, Jefferson, and Madison, because they, too, were racists, sexists, militarists, slaveholders, homophobes, or capitalists.

In essence, our national narrative is to be stolen. It will be confiscated not only because some of its moral premises are increasingly perceived to be questionable, but also because the original narrative has been designated as being invalid, uninclusive, and too ethnocentric. Your world is to be reworked into a new and alien Babylon in which you will be the perpetual outsider, a remnant of a dead empire, and a scourge. The whiteness of your skin will probably be your only real sin; that's alright, your whiteness will suffice. And some words to remember: Cultural purges generally precede ethnic purges.

If such a complete reinvention of American realities still appears improbable, or if this all sounds like a tempest in a teapot, I would direct the reader to a performance of a musical entitled "Hamilton," a production based on the life of Alexander Hamilton, in which a nonwhite cast portrays the founding fathers. As the primarily black and Hispanic cast cavorts and sings on stage in a happy blend of multiracial hues, no one in the audiences dares to think that there is something fundamentally disingenuous about this universalizing of American history and values via giving it a multicultural inheritance that did not exist in the period being depicted. Not to worry, we are told, America has always been a nation of ideas and principles, not something as simple and mundane as a living, breathing people. We must not worry that the portrayals fly in the face of historical realities, and no matter that they fly in the face of human nature: The natural belief that my history is mine, that it is the history of me and my kinsmen, and not some Disneyesque global property to be reworked into a multicultural hip-hop orgy.

It is a history that is mine because it was my ancestors' history, and I find such reinventions offensive. I find them objectionable because this is all part and parcel of an unrequested and unrequired deconstruction of my civilization. Such "performances" are not merely a kind-spirited inclusiveness; they are the prepping for the autopsy of the Old America -the America of our childhoods -- and the birth of the new multiracial America of our immediate future. To invest the emerging new Third World majority in our society, it is important to invest them in the narrative of our past. It is considered irrelevant that many of the places created for them *(Continued on page 11)* Rewriting America (Continued from page 10)

are fictional.

Yep, little dissent is brooked, and when it does occur, the dissenters are by necessity clucked into silence, as I was that morning in Arlington Cemetery as I gently entreated the progeny of the dead resting all around me in those hallowed grounds. It was a progeny more permanently deaf than any of the bodies under my feet. The national narrative is being wrestled from our grasp because it is no longer planned for us to have one, and it is our duty, in honor of those who have gone before us, to understand the insidious nature of the war being waged against us. If we are to have any chance at all, we must grow to understand that reinventions of history are not without a purpose, and a constant repetition of lies does not turn them into the truth. When you purge a people, you begin by deconstructing portions of their history and culture. Have no doubt that In time, portions of our history will be completely reworked or erased, and when you set out to do these things, it is a precursor to erasing the nation itself.

2016 marks the 180th anniversary of the Battle of The Alamo, which took place February 23rd through March 6th, 1836. This battle inspired Sam Houston's army to rout the larger army of General Antonio Lopez de Santa Ana in 18 minutes at the decisive Battle of San Jacinto, after which, Santa Ana's life was spared in return for surrendering the territory now called Texas...

EVERY TEXAN


by Earl P. Holt, III

In the heart of every Texan, there's a spirit we all know, Found deep within our memories, even in our bone marrow; Where the bravest men, ever born, fought 'til all their blood did flow, Creating Sacred Soil at a place called "The Alamo."

It wasn't myth or fantasy, neither fairy tale nor lore, When 189 men fought three thousand troops or more. Over thirteen days they held the fort through shot and cannon roar, Like Spartans at Thermopylae, buying time with their valor.

Though given every chance to flee, to a man they vowed to fight, 'Cause they scorned the thought of fleeing, like some coward in the night. And surrender wasn't in them, any more than fear or fright, With the "Spirit of Gonzalez," they each knew their cause was right.

If cowards die a thousands deaths, and brave men merely one, They've achieved immortality for the courage that they've shown. When free men speak of the Alamo, we'll cite the deeds they've done, Emblazoned in our hearts and souls, where that one star stands alone...



(Copyright, 2016)

CofCC Lapel Pins

Only \$5.00 postage paid Council of Conservative Citizens PO Box 1522, St. Charles, MO 63302



Jean Raspail. "The Camp of the Saints." A Book Review by Jared Taylor.

A haunting novel about the end of the White race.

Fiction can be more powerful than fact. Authors have always lent their talents to causes, often swaying events more effectively than journalists or politicians. Fiction, including virtually everything emitted by Hollywood, has usually been in the service of the left, but occasionally an author declares his allegiance to culture and tradition.

In "The Camp of the Saints", Jean Raspail goes further and declares his allegiance to his race —though it is an allegiance tinged with bitterness at the weakness of the White man. It is the story of the final, tragic end of European civilization, which falls, like all great civilizations, by its own hand.

The novel is set in the near future in France, where the leftist sicknesses of multi-culturalism and multi-racialism have undermined all natural defenses. As Mr. Raspail writes of young Europeans:

"That scorn of a people of other races, the knowledge that one's own is best, the triumphant joy at feeling oneself to be part of humanity's finest -- none of that had ever filled these youngsters' addled brains, or at least so little that the monstrous cancer implanted in the Western conscience had quashed it in no time at all."

By then, "the White race was nothing more than a million sheep," beaten down by decades of anti-White propaganda. As Mr. Raspail explains, it was "a known fact that racism comes in two forms: that practiced by Whites – considered heinous and inexcusable, whatever its motives -- and that practiced by blacks -- deemed quite justified, whatever its excess, since it's merely the expression of a righteous revenge . . . "

This is the state of mind with which the West confronts its final crisis: Nearly a million starving, disease-ridden boat people -- men, women, and children -- set sail from the Ganges delta for Europe. Practically no one is willing to say that this flotilla must be stopped at all costs. Instead, liberals and Christians spout confident nonsense about welcoming their Hindu brothers into the wealth and comfort of Europe.

Failure of Churches to assist White flock

The thought of this wretched brown mass sailing for Europe is a source of great joy for the World Council of Churches. Its men are "shock-troop pastors, righteous in their loathing of anything and everything that smacked of present-day Western society, and boundless in their love of whatever might destroy it." They are determined "to welcome the million "Christs" on board those ships, who would "...rise up, reborn, and signal the dawn of a just, new day..."

One of the few Europeans who recognizes that what has come to be called the "Last Chance Armada" spells the doom of Christendom, reproaches a group of anti-Western churchmen: "There's not one of you proud of his skin, and all that it stands for..."

"Not proud, or aware of it either," replies one. "That's the price we have to pay for the brotherhood of man. We're happy to pay it."

Europe is rife with fifth-column propagandists, products of earlier capitulations. Typical of these is Clement Dio, "citizen of France, North African by blood . . . [who] possessed a belligerent intellect that thrived on springs of racial hatred barely below the surface, and far more intense than anyone imagined."

Europe's Fifth Column

Knowing full well that acceptance of the first wave of third world refugees will only prompt imitators that will eventually swamp the White West, he writes happily about how "the civilization of the Ganges" will enrich a culturally bankrupt continent:

"Considering all the wonders that the Ganges has bestowed on us already -- sacred music, theatre, dance, yoga, mysticism, arts and crafts, jewelry, new styles in dress -- the burning question . . . was how we could manage to do without these folks any longer!"

As the flotilla makes for Europe, school teachers set assignments for their students: "Describe the life of the poor,

Camp of the Saints (Continued from page 12)

suffering souls on board the ships, and express your feelings toward their plight in detail, by imagining, for example, that one of the desperate families comes to your home and asks you to take them in."

The boat people steam towards the Suez Canal, but the Egyptians, not soft like Whites, threaten to sink the entire convoy. One hundred ships turn south, around the horn of Africa -- towards Europe. The refugees run out of fuel for cooking and start burning their own excrement. Pilots sent to observe the fleet report an unbearable stench.

A few deluded Whites have boarded the ships in Calcutta and sail along with "the civilization of the Ganges," dreaming of Europe:

"Already they saw it their mission to guide the flock's first steps on Western soil. One would empty out all our hospital beds so that cholera-ridden and leprous wretches could sprawl between their clean White sheets. Another would cram our brightest, cheeriest nurseries full of monster children. Another would preach unlimited sex, in the name of the one, single race of the future . . . "

The Hindus tolerate these traitors until almost the end of the voyage and then strangle them, throwing their naked bodies overboard so that they drift onto a Spanish beach as the armada heads for the south of France. The boat people have no need for guides of this kind, from a race that has lost all relevance:

The Last Chance Armada, en route to the West, was feeding on hatred. A hatred of almost philosophical proportions, so utter, so absolute, that it had no thoughts of revenge, or blood, or death, but merely consigned its objects to the ultimate void, in this case, the Whites. For the Ganges refugees, on their way to Europe, the Whites had simply ceased to be."

Finally, on the morning of Easter Sunday, the 100 creaking hulks crash onto the beaches. The local inhabitants have abandoned all thought of taking in a family of Hindus, and have fled north. Many of the fashionable leftist agitators have likewise left their editorial jobs and radio programs and disappeared, with their gold bars, to Switzerland. The army has been sent south to prevent a landing, but there are doubts as to whether Whites can be made to slaughter unarmed civilians.

As one government official explains to another, "Don't count on the army, monsieur. Not if you've got . . . genocide in mind."

The other replies: "Then it just means another kind of genocide . . . Our own."

At the last moment the French President is unable to give the order to fire. He urges the troops to act according to their consciences. They throw down their rifles and run.

Bands of hippies and Christians, who have come south to welcome their brown brothers also turn and run as soon as they get a whiff of the new arrivals. **"How could a good cause smell so bad?"**

Feeble resistance

The few remaining Whites with any sense of their civilization find they can communicate practically without speaking: "That was part of the Western genius, too: A mannered mentality, a collusion of aesthetes, a conspiracy of caste, a good-natured indifference to the crass and the common. With so few left now to share in its virtues, the current passed all the more easily between them."

A handful of citizens drive south with their hunting rifles on suicide missions to do the job their government is unable to do. One of these, ironically, is an assimilated Indian. As he explains to another band of citizen-hunters, "Every White supremacist cause -- no matter where or when— has had blacks on its side. And they didn't mind fighting for the enemy, either. Today, with so many Whites turning black, why can't a few `darkies' decide to be White? Like me."

The Indian is killed, along with his White comrades, in an attack by fighter-bombers sent by the French government to put down resistance to the invasion. Soldiers who were unable to kill brown people make short work of "racist" Whites.

All over France non-Whites take the offensive. Algerians on assembly lines rise up and kill their White bosses. African street cleaners knock on the doors of deluxe Paris apartments and move in. A multi-racial government, including a few token Whites, announces a new dispensation. Camp of the Saints (Continued from page 13)

Heading our way: Refugees from the 3rd World.

Capitulation by the French means capitulation everywhere. Masses of ragged Chinese pour into Russia, whose troops are likewise unable to fire on hungry civilians. Huge fleets of beggars set sail from every pestilential southern port, heading for Europe, Australia, and New Zealand. The same drama unfolds In the United States.

"Black would be black, and White would be White. There was no changing either, except by a total mix, a blend into tan. They were enemies on sight, and their hatred and scorn only grew as they came to know each other better."

Americans lay down their arms just as the French do. Raspail hints here and there at what the new Europe will be like: "At the time, each refugee quarter had its stock of White women, all free for the taking. And perfectly legal. (One of the new regime's first laws, in fact. In order to 'demythify' the White woman, as they put it.)"

The first provisional government also has a Minister of Population -- a French woman married to a black -- to ensure a permanent solution to the race problem. After all: **"Only a White woman can have a White baby. Let her choose not to conceive one, let her choose only non-White mates, and the genetic results aren't long in coming."**

It is all over for the White Man

And so ends the saga of Western man, not in pitched battle, not in defeat at the hands of superior forces, but by capitulation.

Even after a quarter century, the novel is astonishingly current. It was written before Communism collapsed, and the new French revolution is spiced with anti-capitalist slogans that now sound slightly off key. One might also complain that a few of the characters verge on caricature. Nevertheless, the central tragedy -- suicidal White weakness -- is brilliantly portrayed and could have been written in 1995.

Mr. Raspail obviously loves his culture and his race, and wrote in the afterward that although he had intended to end the book with a spasm of White self-consciousness that saves Europe, the final catastrophe seemed to write itself. Perhaps he could not, in good faith, write a different ending. In the preface to the 1985 French edition he observed:

"The West is empty, even if it has not yet become really aware of it. An extraordinarily inventive civilization, surely the only one capable of meeting the challenges of the third millennium, the West has no soul left. At every level nations, race, cultures as well as individuals— it is always the soul that wins the decisive battles."

The Camp of the Saints puts the White man's dilemma in the most difficult terms: slaughter hundreds of thousands of women and children or face oblivion. Of course, a nation that had the confidence to shed blood in the name of its own survival would never be put to such a test; No mob of beggars would threaten it.

The story that Mr. Raspail tells -- the complete collapse of Western man, even when the very survival of his civilization so clearly hangs in the balance -- may seem implausible to some. And yet, what Whites do in **The Camp of the Saints** is no different from what they have done every day for the past forty years. The only difference is that the novel moves in fast forward; it covers in months what could take decades.

Whites all around the world suffer from Mr. Raspail's "monstrous cancer implanted in the Western conscience." South Africans vote for black rule. Americans import millions of non-Whites and grant them racial preferences. Australians abandon their Whites-only immigration policy and become multi-cultural.

White extinction inevitable - or is it?

Even if he did not actively cooperate in his own destruction, time works against the White man. As Mr. Raspail writes in the afterward, "the proliferation of other races dooms our race, my race, irretrievably to extinction in the century to come, if we hold fast to our present moral principles. No other race subscribes to these moral principles —if that is really what they are— because they are weapons of selfannihilation."

Mr. Raspail's powerful, gripping novel is a call to all Whites to rekindle their sense of race, love of culture, and pride in history —for he knows that without them we will disappear.

COULD THE EUROPEAN ECONOMY COLLAPSE DUE TO THE REFUGEES?

by James Schneider, director, SaveEurope.net

When I watch television and see pictures of invaders from Syria and elsewhere pouring into Europe, it makes me sick. My mother made a journey in the late 1940s to escape Communist Eastern Europe. This is completely different. There are over a billion people, alien and even hostile to our way of life from many lands who'd like to come, making false or real claims for asylum. Germany took in 1,000,000 in 2015 and Merkel is now trying to coax her country and others to take in more.

This looks like the end of Europe. Hungary was decisive and the first to guard its borders. Some countries are beginning to vet the "newcomers" and are asking the countries of origin to take back those who aren't true refugees—but they refuse to take any back. Other countries now make a show of securing their borders. I suspect this is a trick to pacify a concerned public and stifle the growth of far-right political parties. It is unlikely that more than a handful will go back of their own volition. The European Union will quietly distribute these people all over Europe, and from there they will spread throughout the Western world, with little opposition. The U.S, has agreed to take up to 200,000, but after the terror attack in Paris, there is less talk of it. "Pockets of resistance", to use a current term, have also developed around the US as states and localities learn of plans to sneak refugees in or begin suffering from the onslaught. This and the success of Donald Trump's candidacy has slowed the effort to dump more migrants on us.

The invasion must be stopped now. If nothing is done very soon, Europe is doomed and can never recover from this disaster. By 2050, there will be virtually no young Europeans living in Europe. This will be the worst genocide in history. How can one not be depressed?

Depression is a serious illness. The people of Europe should not try to cover it up and pretend they are happily helping unfortunate refugees. This situation must be troubling to all people who care about our future.

What would happen if all Europeans who feel depressed over this situation sought counseling and therapy? Western European nations have excellent health care which includes addressing mental health issues. Everyone who feels depressed over this situation should seek help. Depression could lower the quality of work, result in accidents, cause physical illnesses, and result in frequent absence from work if not addressed. Nobody should be stigmatized or lose their jobs if they can't work or if their performance suffers because of this depression. If this situation is not addressed, the entire European economy could collapse. That would make it impossible to fund the welfare programs for the migrants. Maybe then the invaders would go back home. Then leaders would see how harmful it is to live among aliens. Then Europe would be ready to recover.

Merely stopping the influx is not enough. Most of the people who came to Europe in the past 70 years should be returned. Although the governments allowed them to enter, it was not with the consent of the people. Therefore, it was illegal and must be reversed. It may take a decade or more to repatriate all non-Europeans while maintaining the current population level. A massive decline in population would cause economic problems. The problem could be overcome by encouraging native Europeans to increase their birth rates, and by welcoming an influx of Europeans from other parts of the world where they are being squeezed out by immigration from the Third World. Millions of Europeans from Africa and the Americas could come and replace the people leaving Europe.

It would be an extreme travail and take determined effort to round up and deport the invaders. They will resist and riot, with support from do-gooder Christians, but it must be done. They will be housed in temporary European camps where food and the minimum in social services and basic human needs will be provided during an orderly deportation process. Simultaneously, similar camps will be created in countries willing to accept them.

Another solution is to resettle refugees in Africa, a continent rich in natural resources. Africa would benefit from the skills the refugees would bring. A campaign would be inaugurated before deportation begins to convince African leaders to accept and gradually take in these people.

THE CORRUPT LEFTIST MEDIA & INTER-RACIAL VIOLENT CRIME

by Earl P. Holt, III

The Corrupt Leftist Media is obsessed with stamping out any hint of what Cultural Marxists consider to be racial "heresy," and it has shown particular animus toward any websites that accurately and honestly report inter-racial violent crime statistics. As in so many other contexts, honest and accurate reportage makes the Corrupt Leftist Media look very bad, in contrast, and they know it.

The Corrupt Leftist Media's standard narrative is one in which innocent black victims are constantly under assault by violent white assailants, and those who disprove this false narrative are subjected to the full wrath and fury of the Corrupt Leftist Media, as the CofCC experienced in the wake of the tragic mass-murders in Charleston back in June of 2015.

To advance their false narrative, any white-on-black violent crime committed in the U.S. instantly becomes the "lead" story for days on end: Meanwhile, black-on-white violent crimes are routinely "spiked" by the Corrupt Leftist Media and receive only local coverage, if they receive any at all. The so-called "Wichita Massacre" is a good example of this phenomenon.

Back in 2000, some white kids in their mid-20s were having a Christmas Party at a private home in Wichita, Kansas. At some point, two black males armed with a handgun forced their way into the condominium and proceeded to round up all its occupants and hold them captive for hours. They repeatedly raped the women, and sexually degraded every victim. When they tired of this form of entertainment, they robbed the house and forced each victim to withdraw money from their ATMs.

Eventually, they marched all five kids out to a soccer field, where they attempted to execute all of them with bullets to the head, before driving over them in a truck belonging to one of the victims.

Fortunately, one courageous young woman survived, and managed to make it to a nearby home where police were called and the murderers were soon apprehended. The lone surviving victim insisted that the homeowners listen to her story before calling the police or EMS, in case she happened to die before they arrived.

If you are unaware of this crime, it is because it was spiked by the Corrupt Leftist Media, in contrast to seemingly endless coverage given the mass slayings in Charleston, the dragging death of James Byrd in Jasper, Texas, or even the phony narratives the Corrupt Leftist Media invented for Trayvon Martin or the "Gentle Giant" in Ferguson, Missouri.

In point of fact, a black is more than 30 times more likely to commit a violent crime against a white person than the reverse, because 85% of ALL interracial violent crimes are perpetrated by blacks – who constitute a minority of 14% -and this statistic holds true for black-on-Hispanic violent crimes, as well.

Because of the enormous disparity between black and white interracial violent crime rates, it has become increasingly necessary for leftists in the Corrupt Leftist Media to intentionally obscure the race of violent black offenders in their news stories. Even where the race of a perpetrator might be of some value in identifying a suspect "at large," the perpetrator's race is scrupulously censored.

Not long ago, the so-called "Society of Professional Journalists" reached the collective decision that the "profession" had not gone far enough in obscuring such vital information, and so it adopted a FORMAL POLICY to censor the race of violent criminal suspects except in "extraordinary" situations.

The motives of the Corrupt Leftist Media are not difficult to ascertain, however, whether they remain in the form of an unspoken conspiracy, or they are formally acknowledged, as the misnamed "Society of Professional Journalists" has obligingly done.

For more than 60 years, whites have been indoctrinated with the patent falsehood that "we are all the same, except for skin color," or that "race is an artificial construct." This narrative has been aggressively promoted by Cultural Marxists – particularly the Corrupt Leftist Media, the entertainCORRUPT MEDIA (Continued from page 16)

ment industry, and the public schools – and their efforts have ushered in many calamitous and destructive policies, such as the forced integration of schools, workplaces, and residential neighborhoods.

This policy of forced integration has been so successful at bringing violent black offenders in greater contact with potential white victims, that by the late 1990s, incidents of black-on-white violent crime began to exceed incidents of black-on-black violent crime. (This refers to the aggregate of all four categories of Violent Crimes: Rape, Robbery, Murder and Aggravated Assault.)

If the Corrupt Leftist Media were to accurately report the massively disproportionate volume of black-on-white vio-

lent crimes, it might alert whites to the fact that we are most clearly NOT all the same, and that blacks are significantly more violent and dangerous than all other races.

The accurate and honest reportage of Inter-racial Violent Crime might actually awaken Whites to the very real threat that blacks clearly pose to them, particularly their demonstrable threat to white women.

Any awakening on the part of whites might work to undermine whatever residual support remains for the so-called "Civil Rights" legislation of the 1960s.In short, a very important pillar supporting the American Left's entire "house of cards" would collapse rather quickly if the Corrupt Leftist Media were to engage in honest and accurate reportage on the subject of black violence directed at white people.



The Political Cesspool is an award-winning broadcast that can be heard on our flagship station, AM 1600 WMQM in Memphis, Tennessee, and via the Liberty News Radio Network's internet stream, affiliate stations, and shortwave / satellite network.

Go to thepoliticalcesspool.org to listen live over the internet.



Full Color CofCC Cards

50 cards \$5.00 100 cards \$9.00 200 card \$16.00 (postage paid) Council of Conservative Citizens P.O. Box 1522, St. Charles, MO 63302

General Order No. 11 The Worst-Ever Union War Crime?

by John Tiffany

An early example of Yankee war crimes against Southern civilians -- one of hundreds – was General Order No. 11 of 1863 (not to be confused with General Order No. 11 of 1862, issued by U.S. Grant.)

This Yankee Army directive issued by Gen. Thomas Ewing forced civilians to evacuate four counties in western Missouri, regardless of whether their allegiances lay with the Union or the Confederacy—or even if they were neutral, as many were.

The severity of the order and the atrocious way it was carried out, with looting and depredations, alienated vast numbers of horrified rural people, and set a precedent for later, very low standards of conduct by Yankee forces.

Animals and farm property were stolen or destroyed, buildings burned to the ground, and cowardly troops murdered non-resisting civilians out of hand—some as old as 70 years of age. The four counties became a devastated no-man's-land, and were later called "the burnt district."

Dense columns of smoke rose in every direction, making the charred remains of dwellings lasting evidence of this atrocity.

One witness to these events was **George Caleb Bingham**, a renowned artist and former Union supporter, until he saw the treatment his fellow citizens of Missouri received at the hands of Union troops. He stated:

It is well known that men were shot down in the very act of obeying the order, and their wagons and effects seized by their murderers. Large trains of wagons, extending over the prairies for miles in length, and moving Kansasward, were freighted with every description of household furniture and wearing apparel belonging to the exiled inhabitants. Dense columns of smoke arising in every direction marked the conflagrations of dwellings, many of the evidences of which are yet to be seen in the remains of seared and blackened chimneys, standing as melancholy monuments of a ruthless military despotism, which spared neither age, sex, character nor condition. There was neither aid nor protection afforded to the banished inhabitants by the heartless authority which expelled them from their rightful possessions. They crowded by hundreds upon the banks of the Missouri River, and were indebted to the charity of benevolent steamboat conductors for transportation to places of safety where friendly aid could be extended to them without danger to those who ventured to contribute it. (www.rulen.com/ partisan/gcb11.htm.)

Noted author and historian **Albert Castel** would later write:

Order Number 11 was the most drastic and repressive military measure directed against civilians by the Union Army during the Civil War. In fact, it stands as the harshest treatment ever imposed on United States citizens under the plea of military necessity in our nation's history. (ibid)

The same mentality which spawned General Order # 11 was later evident in the "total war" waged against a helpless civilian population by General William Sherman. Sherman devised the notorious "March to the Sea" from Atlanta to Savannah, which he began by burning approximately a quarter of the city of Atlanta to the ground. The War for Southern Independence -- commonly but inaccurately called the "American Civil War" -- was entirely avoidable.

A peace delegation was sent from Charleston, South Carolina to Washington, D.C. in early 1861, but U.S. President Abraham Lincoln refused to meet with them.

Lincoln also forbade his Cabinet members from meeting with the Southern delegation, in order to obstruct any possibility of a peaceful solution to the situation at Fort Sumter, thus ensuring its escalation into an armed conflict.

It became increasingly clear that prosecuting a war was exactly what Lincoln intended: His objective was to sabotage the peaceful but secessionist intentions of South Carolina, and in the process, discourage all other likeminded Southern states.

Thus, Lincoln maneuvered the South into firing the first shot at Fort Sumter so that he would have a pretext for pursuing his bloody and divisive war.

(Continued on page 19)

Citizens Informer

General Order 11 (Continued from page 18)

Sherman had a formidable force of 62,000 well-armed and fit infantry, supported by 5,500 cavalry. The badly-decimated Confederate Army could only muster a token resistance to the overwhelming advantage of the Yankees, with some 8,000-10,000 men pressed into service.

Compounding the Yankee advantage in numbers was the added complication that no one knew where Sherman's forces were heading. He divided his men into two wings heading in different directions to confuse the defenders of the Southern homeland. They cut a swath of destruction 60 miles wide, kicking down farmers' doors and seizing all food, livestock and valuables and making off with them.

On Nov. 15, 1864, first the right wing under Gen. Oliver O. Howard and then the left wing under Maj. Gen. Henry Slocum left the ruined City of Atlanta, and with torches in hand, set about burning and looting everything in their respective paths.

Sherman claimed his intention was to thoroughly destroy every building and piece of equipment that might be of value to the Confederate Army, but he turned a blind eye to the pillaging of civilian homes in which his army engaged. After all, he wanted his men to live off the land and not be bothered with supply lines, so they could move quickly and take Savannah, where he intended to rendezvous with the Union Navy.

Clearly he also aimed to split the South in two and demoralize the civilian population. Along the way he conquered and destroyed the then-capital of Georgia, Milledgeville.

On Dec. 8, the horde approached Savannah. To take the city, he first had to take Fort McAllister, which was defended with a novel weapon—land mines. Some 200 Confederates defended the fort and fought fiercely. Nevertheless, having plenty of men to spare, Sherman was able to take the fort in a quick assault, and on Dec. 20, the Confederate forces holding Savannah escaped the city. In the 37-day "march to the sea," Sherman lost a mere 500 dead and wounded—the Confederates lost 1,700 soldiers and an unknown number of civilians.

After occupying the seaport for about a month, he again split his army into two wings to keep the Confederates off balance, and spread his destruction even more viciously into South Carolina. The two wings converged on the state capital, Columbia, where drunken and savage soldiers burned down the city.

Some Northerners and the U.S. Army thought Sherman was a hero, while a great many others saw him as the devil incarnate. And Sherman himself liked to boast, "War is hell." Certainly his style of war was hell—and that has been the style followed by the Yankee Government ever since.

John Tiffany is an assistant editor of **The Barnes Review**. He has for decades been interested in diverse ethnic groups, ancient history, mathematics, science, real-life conspiracies and the problem of crime in our government. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in biology from the University of Michigan and has studied comparative religions and mythologies.

You can get the 64-page issue of The Barnes Review in which the unabridged article appeared (July/August 2015) by sending \$7 (free postage in U.S.) to TBR, 16000 Trade Zone Avenue, Unit 406, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774 or calling 202-547-5586 Mon.-Thu 9-5 ET and charging the issue. Please mention the Citizen Informer when you call. While Lincoln succeeded in getting the war he wanted, he nevertheless soon found his generals were inferior to those of the Confederate States of America (C.S.A.). The Yankees were also no match for the dedicated Southern boys -- man for man -- and Dixie was superior in the realm of strategy, as well.

However, the Confederate Army was undermanned and had fewer weapons, which were often inferior to the arms of the Yankee invaders.

Using "Pie Charts" to compare the totality of Yankee and Confederate resources, we find that – relative to the overall population -- the North had 71% of the American pie, while the South had only 29%.

In railroad mileage, the North had exactly the same advantage of 71% to 29%. In manufacturing plants, the North's advantage was even greater: It had 86% and the South only 14%. Even more serious for the Southern cause, the South had only 8% of industrial workers while the North had 92%.

The C.S.A. had limited infrastructure, little transportation in terms of railroads, wagons and carriages, and even fewer horses and mules. They were often short of ammunition, and despite a wealth of cotton, had limited supplies of clothing and so on.

In terms of white males, the advantage lay heavily with the North, which had 4.6 million to Dixie's 1.1 million—that is a ratio of more than four to one.

Yet the Southern boys accomplished much with so little—and it cannot be doubted that, if the South had possessed as many men as the Yankees and as much materiel, the Northern invaders would have been soundly defeated.

LIBERAL DEMOCRACY V. ORGANIC NATIONALISM AS AN ORGANIZING PRINCIPLE FOR THE SOUTH

Since the 18th century Enlightenment, the West has made an idol of liberal democracy. It has in effect become the political default position of most Westerners, including most Americans. We accept it as "right" without critical examination. In fact, I don't think it incorrect to say that liberal democracy has become our civic religion. From it has sprung most of the cultural, social, and political issues that plague the West today: multiculturalism, tolerance, and diversity; floodtide Third World immigration; moral relativism; the feminist and homosexual agendas; the anti-Christian movement; the decline of the Church; public education; gross materialism; the "racism" industry; and a hatred and distrust of the Western canon and tradition in general.

In American politics, voting majorities marshaled every two or four years have become our gods. They dictate to us how we shall live and die. Most say they wouldn't have it any other way; that would be un-American. To be patriotic, they say, we must live with the results of majority rule, whether a general election, a Supreme Court ruling, or a Congressional vote. They will allow that we can grouse and complain about it as long as we know ourselves bound by it. Otherwise, how could we claim to be "good Americans"?

But a verdict is not sacrosanct just because it was reached through the democratic process.

Our classroom civics books did not tell us that majority rule only works where there is already a consensus of sorts on the fundamental issues within a particular society. For instance, in a Christian country with a high degree of racial and ethnic homogeneity, common language, institutions, and inherited culture, most matters up for a vote are superficial policy issues. They don't tamper with the agreed-upon foundations of society.

However, in a multicultural and multiracial Empire such as ours, majority rule does tamper with the agreed-upon foundations. It is often fraught with dire and even deadly consequences for the losers, especially if the winners bear a grudge. The fifty-one percent can dispossess the other forty -nine. This is obviously not conducive to civic peace and

prosperity.

But the most important question of all is this: can liberal democracy as it exists today uphold civilization? If it cannot, it must be replaced with something that can.

Projections are that the USA—and our beloved Southland will have a White minority by 2040 or even earlier, depending on immigration policy and minority birth rates. That will mean the end of things as we know them—the end of our civilization. Our ancestors bequeathed us, their acknowledged "posterity," a society based on Christian moral principles, the English language, racial (and some degree of ethnic) homogeneity, and British legal and political institutions. All this—the foundations of our civilization--will be lost.

Perhaps Americans in regions outside the South are happy with the idea of giving way to minorities and their White leftist enablers. But if the rest of the country is determined to jump off the cliff, is the South obliged to follow along so "democracy" can be upheld?

It is time that Southerners—the descendants of European, Christian peoples who settled the Southern regions of North America—make a fundamental decision to break with the Enlightenment idea of liberal democracy and to embrace the concept of Southern nationalism. Southern nationalism is nothing less than the acknowledgement that Southerners' (see above definition) survival, well-being, and independence should be the primary considerations for the here -and-now as well as for the future. If current political arrangements do not promote our survival, well-being, and independence, then they should be cast aside for new arrangements that do promote these ends. This includes democracy in all its forms.

WHAT IS ORGANIC NATIONALISM?

What the South must embrace for its survival is organic nationalism, a form of nationalism in which the political state (the government) receives its legitimacy from the organic

LIBERAL DEMOCRACY (Continued from page 20)

unity of those whom it serves. In other words, it is a true nation-state such as historic France, Germany, or England. Hallmarks of that organic unity are race/ethnicity, language, culture and folk customs, and religion. It is therefore a "nation"—a distinct people, a Folk—in the primal and fundamental sense. By nature it is conservative in that its main function is to conserve a society that will defend the lives, liberty, and property of the people who comprise it. Their survival, well being, and independence are paramount. Conversely, they reject the top-down universal hegemony of the elites.

What would a South that embraced organic nationalism look like? It would be a South that returned to its European roots but with plenty of leeway given for those cultural attributes that are uniquely Southern. We could listen to Beethoven as well as Hank Williams. We could read Sir Walter Scott as well as William Faulkner. It would embrace and celebrate as good and wholesome all its peculiarities without apology and without embarrassment—its literature, language and dialect, religious faith, folkways, songs, cuisine, myths, and overall worldview. It would also draw from that deep cultural well that is Europe, taking the best of that and calling it our own as well.

That the organic South is both European and extra-European should be no problem for us to accept. After all, we have been in Dixie for four hundred years, and that experience has turned various European ethnicities into a loose but cohesive unity known as "Southern." Thus we have one foot in Europe and the other in Dixie, and that makes us a distinct people, a real nation unlike any other in the world.

Unlike the South, the USA is not a "nation;" rather, it is a failed leftist multicultural experiment that is morally, spiritually, and financially bankrupt. I do not believe our Founders intended it to be such, but nonetheless it has become that. And as such, it should have no appeal to true Southerners. Indeed, it should have no moral purchase on our loyalty. The USA had become the "rat" that Patrick Henry smelled all those years ago.

The USA has bound its identity to the Enlightenment idea of Liberal Democracy and all that it entails. Moreover, it has compounded the problem by willingly and wittingly committing itself to becoming a multicultural Empire in which democratic institutions are manipulated by the ruling elite for the benefit of favored groups. We Southerners are not one of those groups.

As I look at my precious children and grandchildren, I shudder to think what will happen to them and their descendants when they become the numerical political (and actual demographic) minority. Revenge—"getting even"—will be a commonplace occurrence as our Folk are attacked and robbed of life, liberty, and property with impunity in the name of Social Justice or some other fabricated universal right. Will the long -established rights of our children and grandchildren be protected by the new regnant majority who are not products of Western Christian civilization? Or will a majority of wolves vote to devour a minority of sheep? I think you know the answer.

We Southerners must embrace a new paradigm. We must think "outside the box" in which our enemies have placed us. We must have a new organizing principle: organic nationalism. It is the answer for the South if we are serious about the survival, well being, and independence of the Southern people. That means the rejection of the status quo of living in a multicultural empire that sucks our lifeblood.

For our self-preservation dare we cast aside voting and the idea of the "consent of the governed" for a monarchy or dictatorship? No. We must simply re-define along the lines of organic nationalism the political and social entity to which we belong—the Southern nation. In that entity, our interests and moral principles will hold sway, and we can determine who gets to be called "citizen" and who exercises the right to vote and to participate in other civic matters. No more being ruled by alien, universalist elites. No more kowtowing to the

interests of Massachusetts, New York, and California or those of the globalists. The Southern nation will be run by Southerners in the interest of Southerners. Will that dawning not be a glorious and blessed day?

Michael Hill

President, League of the South Killen, Alabama



FIGHTING TERRORISM SINCE 1861

by Gene Andrews

In the pre-dawn hours of July 13, 1862, the quiet town of Murfreesboro, TN was shattered by the sound of horses hooves on the road, pistol shots and the Rebel Yell of Nathan Bedford Forrest's cavalry roaring into town to attack the Federal regiments on opposite sides of town. Meanwhile a third group of Confederates headed straight into town to release civilian prisoners scheduled for execution that morning for committing the heinous crime of sending food and clothing to their sons serving in the Confederate Army.

Lincoln's war criminals were going to make examples of disloyal citizens, murdering them without trial. The jailer set fire to the jail, then ran away with the keys attempting to burn the prisoners alive, but Forrest's men broke into the building, pried the cell doors open, saved the doomed men, then put out the fire that left unchecked would have destroyed most of the downtown area. (Please refer to Michael Grissom's book, "American Terrorists, Lincoln's Armies in the South" for an eye popping account of the brutality of the war of genocide against Southerners.)

In honor of Forrest rescuing the citizens of Middle Tennessee and Murfreesboro from destruction, and in recognition of his outstanding military and other qualities, the ROTC building on the campus of Middle Tennessee State University in Murfreesboro was named "Forrest Hall".

THAT WAS THEN—THIS IS NOW—A series of three public forums are being held now to decide whether to change the Building's name. This was promoted by the usual suspects and the Black university president and sex offender. I have attended the first two of these forums: In both cases , the meetings were interrupted by blacks and a motley assemblage of white lackeys and whiggers shouting, chanting, and performing in their usual animalistic, senseless manner with vulgarity and threats. The name change advocates were removed from the room each time, but not the premises, and were able to harass us as we exited.

As with Donald Trump rallies, when the "Black Lives Don't Matter to Blacks" crowd appears, they revert back to the law of the jungle with a "give us what we demand or else" confrontation.

My friend's forum input was the best yet: "If anyone ever wondered why segregation laws were put in place and strictly enforced, tonight's jungle behavior answered that question once and for all". Amen.



الاعلام الأعلام الأعلام الأعلام الأعلام الأعلام

The First Freedom A racist monthly tabloid Covers the South like white on grits – emphasis White

To get the next twelve 24-page issues of its articulately unflinching truth by mail anywhere in C.S.A. or U.S. send \$25 in Federal Reserve play money or two silver dollars to TFF, PO Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576

Harry Sanders Grocery & Market P.O. Box 25 North Carrollton, Mississippi

Book Review / By Bob Lee

UNPROTECTED

A Campus Psychiatrist Reveals How Political Correctness in Her Profession Endangers Every Student By Anonymous, M.D. / Miriam Grossman, M.D.

(Sentinel, 200 pages, \$23.95)

The author, an anonymous campus physician, makes the case that the fields of psychology, psychiatry, and social work have been captured by ultra-liberals whose agenda includes a vision for social change that

is hostile to Christian fundamentalist values. The conservative author asserts that believing in God is good for you. The problem is that political correctness has taken over the college campuses and she and other physicians on major college campuses are not permitted to discuss religious values. She cannot advise students to wait until they are married to have sexual relations, or even tell them that love and fidelity bring joy. She would be in big trouble if she told female students that bearing a child is the noblest calling for a woman.

There is an increase in the number of female college students with serious psychological problems. The author counsels sexually promiscuous young college women who come to her daily complaining that they are depressed and unhappy. The book emphasizes the powerful effects that oxytocin has on young women. Oxytocin is described by some as a natural "love potion" that is produced by a woman's body. It is a powerful bonding chemical that is produced when women make love with men. The problem is that on today's campus women are frequently involved in sex-only relationships with male friends (described sometimes as "friends with bene-

43% of co

A Campus Psychiatrist Reveals How Political Correctness in Her Profession Endangers Every Student

MIRIAM GROSSMAN, M.D.

fits"). Women often undergo agonizing feelings because these casual sexual relationships with men do not provide the emotional intimacy that they really need.

Sexually transmitted diseases are a major health problem for young women. Condoms do not prevent infection by the human papillomavirus (HPV). The author reports that her female patients are nearly always surprised when they test positive for HPV; they often cry out that their lovers have been wearing condoms! HPV is linked to cervical and throat cancer. It can be transmitted to newborns. Currently 43% of college coeds test positive for HPV. Single

> women who trust in birth control pills frequently catch chlamydia. Chlamydia infection is common among college students male and female. There are nearly 3,000,000 new cases a year of chlamydia in the USA - most of them young women. Problems remain after treatment with antibiotics. Chlamydia damages the woman's reproductive system. Embryos and chlamydia have a protein called HSP that is similar. The pregnant woman's body identi-

fies the embryo as a hostile invader and attacks it causing early pregnancy loss.

America is suffering from spiritual bankruptcy. The sexual freedoms provided by the sexual revolution have been at great cost. Cancer, abortion, depression, infertility and habitual miscarriage is a big price to pay for having promiscuous sex in college. The author's book is a valuable resource for parents and students.

Bob Lee is a Southern Paleo-Conservative who is a longtime member of the Nashville area CofCC chapter. At the present time he is serving on their steering committee.

23



LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Please submit your Letters to the Editor directly to the Editor:

Mr. Sid Secular PO Box 8336 Silver Spring, MD 20907-8336

or by email at:

SidSecular1@aol.com.

Facing the New \$10 Bill

I was appalled when I saw that Congressman Van Hollen sent a letter to Treasury Secretary Jack Lew asking him to put Harriet Tubman on the new \$10 bill. This is a mistake. She is not a hero to most Americans and she was a lawbreaker in her time. If yesterday's lawbreakers are today's heroes it will make people more likely to violate today's laws hoping to become heroes tomorrow.

I am sure some people suggested putting a buffoon like Martin Luther King or a terrorist like Nelson Mandela on our new \$10. Maybe a joint portrait of Bill and Hillary Clinton would be preferred by some. Choices like these will just cause many to lose respect for America. Because of the multicultural nature of the new America, it is impossible to find a national hero we all agree on.

I suggest putting some other symbol on our new \$10, choose any of the following: tree, flower, earth, eagle, buffalo, circle, square...

On the other hand, if Donald Trump becomes president, I recommend a man who embodies the true spirit of greatness that was once (and may be again) America. Teddy Roosevelt is my choice for the \$10.

James Schneider Gaithersburg, Maryland

Racial Realities

The White Race created Western Civilization. The Negro Race never created anything that resembles our concept of civilization. Negroes do just enough to get by, but latch onto White inventions and technology, as they come along. Negroes rhyme rather than reason; joke, jive, and jabber; vent feelings vice communicate; and have limited control of their impulses. Liberal egalitarianism is fertile ground for their ways to hold sway. The Negro traits of dishonesty, unpredictability, deviousness, incompetence, unreliability, and callousness have spread and manifest everywhere.

Negro tendencies towards criminality were once held in check by slavery, segregation, and strict law enforcement. Liberalism has removed these constraints, and "anything goes".

A White Lives Matter movement must be started to raise White racial consciousness and publicize injustices toward and crimes against Whites, if only for educational and defensive purposes.

Ray Dively Baden, PA

Nixing The Mix

Before World War II, our country had only two racial groups of any numerical significance: Whites and blacks, with the former in political ascendancy, as had been the case since the founding of the country.

Following World War II and after all the treasonous immigration acts of the 1960s and following, we now find ourselves with six such groups: Whites, blacks, Hispanics, Asians, Near Eastern Muslims, and Jews.

Does anyone in his or her right mind believe this amalgam of discordant racial elements can survive as a viable nation? And, will someone please show me where, in history, this has ever happened within a Constitutional Republic, dependent upon a knowledgeable and informed citizenry? It has NEVER happened.

This was predicted many years ago from the experiences of earlier nations and civilizations. So, why have Americans learned nothing from history? ANSWER: The deliberate beLetters To The Editor (Continued from page 24)

AN OLD REFRAIN

trayal of our failed schools and universities, which refuse to expose the fallacies of racial "diversity," and which promote an illusory equality of the races.

John W. Altman Tuscaloosa, AL

MAKING NONSENSE OUT OF EVOLUTION

The famous physical anthropologist Carleton S. Coon said some decades ago that the White race was 200,000 years further advanced than the Negro race. He was thinking in terms of an evolution paradigm. On the other hand, current establishment authorities, who inevitably and invariably are fundamentally wrong about nearly everything given enough time, say the White race came into being only 40,000 years ago. Since evolution is usually conceived as a glacially slow process, the White race actually had to "evolve" a really long time to reach a point where it was 200,000 ahead of the Negro race, whose advancement has basically stagnated since it came into being, so the 40,000 year figure makes no sense. There are many more ethnic groups or varieties among the Whites than among the Blacks which show up in the multitude of physical and mental differences. The authorities would have us cram these developing variations into a tiny and untenable 40,000 year mold, disregarding the large amounts of time they normally assign to the development of differentiations among individuals and sub-groups that lead to evolutionary changes.

To top off the conundrum, the Cro-Magnon, the very early but highly advanced proto-whites had larger brains than current Whites or any other current humans, and may have been more advanced than us in the complexities of civilization, but along a different pathway—more spiritually and less materially oriented than us. This is what we've recently discovered about the pre-historic Atlantean civilization, dominated by red-headed Caucasians. This means their development would have had to occurred within a tiny slice of the 40,000 year figure cited above. It makes no sense to a rational mind, but one fixated on the paradigm of evolution and the authenticity of the claims of current authorities.

Valerie Haller, Colonial Beach, VA The Baltimore Sun initiated a reader survey on March 20th asking readers whether the "pro-confederate lyrics should be stripped from the State song" (Maryland, My Maryland). This unique, ardently pro-South tune, set to the melody of the Christmas Carol, O Tannenbaum, urges Maryland to join her sister state, Virginia in rebuffing the tyrant and joining the Confederacy. Unbelievably, over 90% of readers are in favor of leaving the song unaltered. This liberal Paper periodically agitates in this manner to attract readership and display its libber bona-fides. The pro-Confederate sentiment for the song never seems to waver, however.

However, Maryland is a solid blue state overall. Baltimore officialdom is considering removing all Confederate monuments and displays from within the city. This would include the prominent and venerable statues of Generals Lee and Jackson displayed on the grounds of Johns Hopkins University which has served for many years as the focal point of Lee-Jackson Day festivities in the Mid-Atlantic region. This year's event was canceled due to the increasing threats of Liberal misbehavior. The vendetta against the event began long before the current agitation when the School some years ago suddenly prohibited social get-togethers for participants using school facilities after the event was over.

One wonders if all such monuments within the State should be removed now to prevent vandalism. This includes the famous statue of the Confederate soldier on the Montgomery County Courthouse grounds which has reportedly already been vandalized.

Ralph Maclvor Baltimore, MD

I CAN'T BELIEVE THEY SAID THAT!

"Civil rights laws were not passed to protect the rights of white men and do not apply to them"--Mary Berry--Chairperson(1993-2004) of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

"The population of the U.S. will shrink from 250 million to about 22.5 million before 1999 because of famine and global warming"--Paul Ehrlich--famous "doomseer" prophet who made ecology a household word.

MORE EXECUTIONS, FEWER MURDERS

by Earl P. Holt, III

The quaint notion that capital punishment is not an effective general deterrent to murder came as a result of some very flawed research done in the late 1950s by a leftist Sociologist named Thorsten Sellin. (T. Sellin. *The Death Penalty.* American Law Institute, Philadelphia. 1959.)

As often occurs when leftists undertake important research, objective truth was sacrificed to the "loftier" goals of ideology: That is, Sellin candidly acknowledged that he set out to "prove" that capital punishment did not deter the crime of murder in the U.S., and his bias was glaringly evident in his results.

Sellin's "methodology" compared murder rates in U.S. states with capital punishment statutes "on their books," against murder rates in states without capital punishment statutes of any kind. Using a simple-minded correlation technique, Sellin found no significant difference between these two categories of states.

The flaw in his methodology consisted of the fact that many states with capital punishment statutes "on their books" never actually used them, and some – particularly in New England -- had not carried out an execution for 50 years or more at the time of his study.

This created a fraudulent dichotomy between these two categories of states, and obscured any deterrent effect that might have been in evidence.

Predictably, leftists in academia and the Corrupt Leftist Media have seized upon and perpetuated Sellin's erroneous conclusions, thus ensuring that the public has remained

egregiously misinformed about the deterrent effect of capital punishment for more than 50 years.

EHRLICH TO THE RESCUE

Those devoted to objective truth and good government are forever indebted to an economist named Isaac Ehrlich, who re-examined the deterrent effect of capital punishment following the Supreme Court's decision in *Furman v. Georgia* (1972,) which instituted a "moratorium" on executions in the United States.

In a more elegant and sophisticated design than that of Sellin, Ehrlich's model created three categories of states: First, states that have capital punishment statutes and **which actually use them**; Second, states which have capital punishment statutes but **never used them**; And, third, states which have **no capital punishment statutes** of any kind.

Using a far more sophisticated Simultaneous Equation-Multivariate Regression Analysis, Ehrlich found that the application of capital punishment has a demonstrable and powerful general deterrent effect upon the crime of murder.

In fact, Ehrlich found that for every person executed for the crime of murder in the United States, it saved the lives of between seven and eight innocent victims. (I. Ehrlich. *"The Deterrent Effect of Capital Punishment."* American Economic Review, June of 1975.)

Interestingly, Ehrlich's later use of identical methodologies to study the incidence of other, non-capital crimes, generated little controversy within legal and "scientific" circles: This proves that the real opposition to his capital punishment research was political and ideological in nature, rather than scientific.

IDEOLOGY "TRUMPS" SCIENCE

Predictably, the left immediately set out to try to prove Ehrlich wrong. Having recently succeeded in convincing the Supreme Court to place a moratorium on executions in *Furman*, the Cultural Marxists put on a full-court press: They weren't about to allow Ehrlich's research to go unanswered, especially when it demonstrated a powerful rationale for executions.

In a hastily written rebuttal in the Yale Law Journal, a leftist Iowa Law Professor and his accomplice attacked Ehrlich for not holding constant, certain unrelated (or "exogenous") variables in his study. (D. Baldus and J. Cole. "A Comparison of the Work of Thorstein Sellin and Isaac Ehrlich on the Deterrent Effect of Capital Punishment." Yale Law Journal, Vol 85, MORE EXECUTIONS (Continued from page 26)

No 2, Dec. of 1975.)

Confronted with such ignorance and phony "scholarship," Ehrlich was gracious, but did respond with the following: *"This error by Baldus and Cole betrays quite a fundamental misunderstanding of the methodology which they have undertaken to evaluate*." I. Ehrlich. "The Deterrent Effect of Capital Punishment: Reply." American Economic Review. Vol. 67, June of 1977.)

Such ideological opponents of capital punishment work in consort with their allies in the legal profession, who doggedly undermine the deterrent effect of capital punishment by dragging out the appeals process – sometimes for as long as 36 years -- so that many executions are too far removed in time and memory to retain their full deterrent effect.

These abolitionists then turn around and employ the length and expense of the appeals process -- for which THEY are primarily responsible -- as an argument **against** capital punishment, contending that such lengthy appeals have made Capital Punishment too expensive to retain...! (Jeffrey Fagan. **"Capital Punishment: Deterrent Effects and Capital Costs."** Columbia Law School Magazine. Summer, 2006)

BETTER TECHNIQUES

A new and more sophisticated analytical technique called "Panel Data-Sets" has been developed, which appears very promising, and has allowed researchers to eliminate many earlier methodological errors that plagued all such studies, including Ehrlich's.

Several recent studies employing these panel data-sets have demonstrated a stunning vindication of Isaac Ehrlich's original research, and many show an even greater deterrent effect from capital punishment than that first demonstrated by Ehrlich in his pioneering study in 1975.

Hence, two Emory University researchers found that each U.S. execution for the crime of murder saved the lives of 18 innocent victims, by virtue of its general deterrent effect. (H. Dezhbakhsh and J. Shepherd. *"The Deterrent Effect of Capital Punishment."* Economic Inquiry, Vol 44, July of 2006.)

Likewise, two University of Houston researchers found that the 13-month Texas Death Penalty "moratorium" (Jan. 1996

to Feb. 1997) precipitated the murder of 90 additional victims, whereas re-instituting executions "significantly" reduced the Texas murder rate. (D. Cloninger and R. Marchesini. *"Execution Moratorium is No Holiday for Homicides."* 35 Applied Economics 569 -- 2001.)

Paul Zimmerman, a former Reagan Administration Economist, found that each execution in the U.S. spared the lives of 14 innocent victims as a result of capital punishment's deterrent effect. (P. Zimmerman. *"State Executions, Deterrence, and the Incidence of Murder."* Journal of Applied Economics, Vol. VII, May of 2004.)

CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE

In his review of the most recent studies on the deterrent effect of capital punishment for the Senate Judiciary Committee on February 1, 2006, former Reagan Economic Advisor Paul Rubin summarized his interpretation of the literature in this manner:

"The literature is easy to summarize: Almost all modern studies and all the refereed studies find a significant deterrent effect of capital punishment. Only one study questions these results. To an economist, this is not surprising: We expect criminals and potential criminals to respond to sanctions, and execution is the most severe sanction available."

There is an old adage bemoaning the fact that **"A lie is half**way around the world before the truth can get its boots on..." This is particularly true in the Social Sciences, which have been largely subverted by Cultural Marxists in the course of their "long march through the institutions." (Pat Buchanan quote.)

However, if there is one lesson to be gleaned from the debate surrounding capital punishment – beyond its deterrent effect -- it is that one man truly CAN make a difference.

This is especially true if that man – like Isaac Ehrlich -- possesses greater courage, vision and integrity than an army of his enemies among the Marxist ideologues who currently dominate academia...

Younger and Wiser

FEARS OF A MILLENNIAL IN MODERN AMERICA

How many "Millennials" reading this article have ever felt as though they'd be considerably happier had they been born in the 1880's -- as opposed to the 1980's -- and never lived to see the twenty-first century, despite its technological advances and elevated standard of living?

The flip-side is that we've experienced a loss of individual freedom, meritocracy, propriety, decorum, and the wisdom of 800 years of Western History. The modern, Dominant Liberal Media Culture rejoices in the accomplishments of minorities, but these are largely undeserved, and occur primarily at the expense of white Americans. The quislings in our Political Class are perfectly content to transfer wealth and power away from whites -- then lavish it upon minorities -in order to satisfy the Dominant Liberal Media Culture's conception of "justice."

How many of us are afraid to bring children into this world because of the predominance of liberalism and liberal media bias, which dominate the opinions of those who are incapable of thinking for themselves, which has nearly eradicated the very principles, institutions and cultural ethos which served America well for centuries?

It's troubling when we consider how much American society has changed since the start of the twenty-first century. Our generation has been labeled the most liberal generation, accepting of anything, no matter how absurd it may seem, and accepting of anyone, no matter how alien he or she may be.

Our generation has experienced some of the most radical changes in history, yet, we are profoundly restricted in what we are permitted to criticize: If we criticize some sacred cow of the left, their enforcers of "Political Correctness" label us "haters" or "racist," because we mustn't "judge" anyone.

Millennials have been indoctrinated through a leftist media bias into accepting a variety of truly foolish thoughts and ideas. We may very well be the first generation unable to think for ourselves, as we readily subscribe to a variety of ridiculous notions, including homosexual "marriage," "white privilege," or that persons of color must hold powerful positions in order to dispel any appearance of "racism."

Of course, not all Millennials are so foolish; There are Millennials who still believe that our lives and society ought to be governed by the conservative and Christian principles that made us the most prosperous and powerful nation in human history.

In defining the "Millennial" generation, I will reference an article titled "Here Is When Each Generation Begins and Ends" from The Atlantic. Researchers Dr. Neil How and Dr. William Strauss are responsible for naming and defining the "Millennial" generation, which includes all persons born between 1982 and 2004. (I qualify by having been born in 1989: However, that is about all I have in common with my Millennial peers.)

Concerning the pervasive liberalism of the Millennial generation, I reference an article written in 2014 titled "The GOP's Millennial Problem Runs Deep," which appeared on the Pew Research Center's web site. According to the article, Millennials are the most liberal generation of all. Of those Americans born between 1981 and 1996, 41% identify as liberal in their views, 15% identify as conservative, and 44% were reported to be ideologically "mixed." In the upcoming presidential election, it is predicted that 64% of Millennials will vote Democrat, while only 34% are expected to vote Republican.

This predominant liberal viewpoint among Millennials is particularly evident in two social issues, homosexual marriage and inter-racial marriage. At times, it's difficult for me to tell which is worse, for as much as I believe marriage is between a man and a woman, I adamantly believe marriage should be between persons of the same race. My beliefs are in the minority among Millennials, however, if Gallup Opinion Polls

(Continued on page 29)

MILLENNIAL (Continued from page 28)

are to be believed.

While the inherent bias of so-called "Push-Polls" can't be discounted - where the bias of pollsters elicits the responses they desire, or where respondents are afraid to answer candidly -- according to Gallup, a majority of Americans supported homosexual marriage by the year 2011. After the Supreme Court ruled in favor of homosexual marriage in the summer of 2015, the percentage of Americans in favor of homosexual marriage was supposedly about 60%. However, Gallup found that persons born between 1986 and 1997 overwhelmingly approved of homosexual marriage by a 3:1 ratio.

The only thing more disturbing than Gallup's findings regarding homosexual marriage, is its findings concerning interracial marriage. In the year 2013, an article appeared on Gallup's website alleging that by 2013, 87% of the U.S. approved of inter-racial marriage. While most Americans were probably afraid to answer candidly and honestly - lest they incur the disapproval of pollsters -- according to the article, 96% of Millennials born between the years 1984 and 1995 approve of inter-racial marriage.

Such findings as these cause me to be ashamed of my generation. It seems as though Millennials are conditioned to accept nearly anything, except those ideas that have successfully served mankind for six thousand years. Modernity, it appears, is intent upon creating a "Brave New World" by eradicating the institutions, principles, ideals and cultural ethos that has successfully served Western Man for 800 years, since the Magna Carta.

If you're a Millennial reading this, and if you do, indeed, feel as though this article confirms your fears of what the future has in store for you and society, please know that you're not alone. Do not allow the Dominant Leftist Media Culture to dictate your thoughts, beliefs, and plans for the future: For within each family, unified parental authority can buffer these malignant influences on their children, which explains why the nuclear family has also been in the cross-hairs of the Cultural Marxists in a variety of ways.

Conservative Millennials -- who are dissatisfied with the way the Dominant Liberal Media Culture has influenced adolescent minds -- ought to come together through organizations such as the Council of Conservative Citizens. For within communities such as these, we find that we are not alone, and some semblance of sanity can still persevere in a society corrupted by the sinister influences of liberals and their Marxist allies.

Let's not be silenced by leftist Millennials who often assume their liberal ideas are the only ones deserving to be heard, as if they were somehow morally elevated or more "legitimate."

Just because our ideas are not in favor with those of the Dominant Liberal Media Culture doesn't make our voices any less important. Opposing inter-racial marriage and homosexual marriage are not positions which should be frowned upon, they reflect the wisdom of 6,000 years of recorded history.

Mickey Millennial.

Millennial.Mickey@gmail.com



FUNDRAISER FOR THE CITIZENS' INFORMER

The book "WHITE FLIGHT" by CofCC member and author James Schneider. A very novel novel. Absorbingly serious and funny at the same time. Frantic follies follow when a White nation invites Whites from around the world to emigrate there.

Books are \$20 each. Buy 3 or more for your own chapter fundraising activities at only \$10 each! Send remittance to:

Sidney Secular, P.O. Box 8336, Silver Spring, MD 20907-8336

The Traditionalist Worker Party by Matt Heimbach, Chairman


I don't think I am going to surprise anyone when I say that the Republican and Democratic Establishment are corrupt and actively support policies that are bad for our people. The Two Party System in the United States has become one of picking the "lesser of two evils" where each Party is simply a slightly different form of tyranny. International bankers, multinational corporations and foreign interests have long ago bought and paid for the Establishment of the Beltway insiders on both sides of the aisle.

As the demographic, economic, moral, and social situation of White Americans grows more dire with each passing day, it is becoming more clear that we cannot count on or trust the current establishment. What we need as Whites in America is to finally have a political and social movement FOR US and BY US. We don't need to beg for scraps from corrupt politicians; we need to forcefully enter the political ring as a people united together to take on all politicians who wish to sell us and our people down the river.

I come to you my brothers and sisters as the Chairman of the Traditionalist Worker Party. We are a Party unlike any other in American history, one built from the ground up to represent you and to fight for White working families.

We are different than any other Party because we are Traditionalists and economic Third Positionists. The Left promotes class warfare of the working class against the rich, while the capitalist elites promote class warfare against working families. We are a Party that believes that families and the nation as a whole, not global oligarchs, should be the first concern of our economy. Class cooperation, not class warfare is our mantra.

Unlike the Republicans that say they believe in Traditional values, healthy families and defending our God given rights of self defense, we actually believe in them! Our Party has the strongest positions of any political movement in America on these subjects and we will refuse to compromise for the sake of either money or approval from a corrupt and nefarious media.



take a stand against the corrupt Establishment and to fight for your people. We are building local chapters all around this country to provide charity for needy families, to fight for jobs with a living wage for our people, to promote homeschooling and parochial education over the liberal indoctrination centers called "public schools" and to gain political power and influence for our people.

Elections on the local level are decided in some cases by even a dozen votes. With hard work we can begin to chip away at the political parasite class and install true nationalist leadership. From town councils to State legislatures, we can and we will win these positions to make lives better for our constituents. We will empower, organize and mobilize White men and women to finally take a stand and put in leadership of their communities people that actually care about them and will unceasingly struggle for them.

We will win the hearts and minds of our people by showing that we are a Party free from corruption, cronyism and politics as usual. By providing material support for those who

(Continued on page 31)

To become a comrade in the Traditionalist Worker Party is to

TRADITIONALIST (Continued from page 30)

have been abandoned by the political class, we can show them that it is nationalists and nationalists alone who care about them and their future. By instituting policies in regions we control to make lives better for working families, small business owners, retirees and students we will prove that we are cut from a different cloth from the other political Parties in America.

By building up local chapters to put up candidates in local and State races, we can plan within a short period to begin taking political power in areas and showing the people that our plans of nationalism, of Faith and of fighting for our families is better than the death cult of the Republicans and Democrats.

Carl von Clausewitz famously said "War is merely the continuation of politics by other means." Likewise the reverse is true, politics is warfare by other means. The Establishment Parties through their support of Free Trade, mass immigration (both legal and illegal) and support for anti-White legislation such as Affirmative Action is destroying White working class families and entire communities economically, socially and demographically with almost the same effect as if they were dropping bombs on us. an enemy army rampage through your nation without a shot fired. Politics is our weapon. It's time to start using it.

Through using election laws to guarantee that our ads and message must be played on the mainstream media, through local chapters that become an active part of their communities and through running candidates to challenge the Republicans and Democrats, we can continue to carry the torch of heroic nationalists like the Council's own Gordon Baum; to fight for Faith, Family and Folk.

The Party is not here to replace any organization, only to empower them! To unite the nationalist cause in America and give us the ability to utilize elections to fight for our people. Already multiple groups have joined together under the banner of the Traditionalist Worker Party and we will only continue to grow as our message reaches more ears. It is time to stop letting the political class use and abuse us, instead it is time to fight them tooth and nail in every community in this nation.

The road ahead will not be easy, but we will be victorious. Join us today at www.tradworker.org and become a comrade in the fight for not only your future, but the future of your children and grandchildren! The time has come for a political Party to fight for our people, and that Party is the Traditionalist Worker Party. Hail Victory!

If White Americans don't organize politically, it is like letting



Understanding the Invasion of Europe

by Paul Fromm

Mass immigration and a flood of "refugees" are transforming the White European World. Open door immigration policies dating back to 1965 mean that, by 2041, the European founding/settler people of the U.S. will become a minority. They were 90 per cent of the U.S. population when John F. Kennedy was elected in 1960. People of European descent are now just 61 per cent of the population. [Canada will become majority non-White by 2050.]

In both cases, the replacement of the European founding/ settler people came about by public policy. Yet, this policy of ethnic replacement or "ethnic cleansing on the installment plan" is almost never discussed let alone debated politically.

Part of the ecstatic applause and enthusiasm that have greeted Donald Trump is his willingness to tackle at least part of the immigration issue. Many White Americans know that their country is being pulled out from under them. Their neighborhoods have been made "diverse" through mass immigration; Their town's Main Street has been hollowed out and much business moved to Wal-Mart super stores stuffed with cheap Chinese imports. And, many of their decent paying jobs have been outsourced to China, Mexico or Vietnam.

Meanwhile, the Millennial Generation (or "Stepford Children") and much of the mass media blare accusations that it is Whites who are the cause of most of America's problems. They, the victims of minority-preference "affirmative action," are derided for their so-called "White Privilege." "Black lives matter," they are told, but it is Whites who are overwhelmingly the victim of inter-racial crime.

The past year has seen the wholesale invasion of Europe by Third-Worlders, variously described as "refugees" or "migrants." Unlike the emaciated, bedraggled refugees of yesteryear, these are mostly robust young men, well dressed and chattering on their cellphones. They have the money to pay greedy gangsters and people smugglers. By boat or by land, they surge into hapless, overwhelmed Greece, arrogantly battle with police and try to force their way north to the handout capitals of Europe – Germany

and Sweden.

Last year, Germany accepted over a million Third World migrants who promptly showed their gratitude to German taxpayers -- who must pony up over \$13,500 to support each migrant -- by the mass assault and rape of German and other European women during New Year's public gatherings in Cologne, Hamburg, Vienna, Salzburg and Stockholm. The police tried to downplay the fact that the attackers were organized Moslems. The press, too, initially joined the cover-up. However, the social media – cellphones, YouTube, Twitter, e-mail – allowed scenes of assaults to go viral.

There were even reports of Germans being expelled from their apartments to make room for the invaders. Other YouTube videos showed Moslems molesting bathers, male and female, at public pools and defecating in the water.

Yet, according to most of the media, the villains were the "Extreme Right" who protested the outrages of the unwanted "guests." Police visited outspoken critics of the invasion who had posted their views on Facebook, threatening them with the loss of their jobs or even the loss of their children.

Why would European leadership be so unable or so unwilling to deal with the invasion?

How do we make sense of German Chancellor Angela Merkel's decision to welcome over a million largely unassimilable Third-Worlders at a time when there are no jobs for them – Germany, the best off country in Europe, still has over 6 per cent unemployment.

Merkel is a former communist. Then, known as Angela Kasner, she was propaganda secretary in the Young Communist League. She may have shed her economic communism but remains a dedicated cultural Marxist. She is militantly anti-nationalist. Her immigration policy will help displace or replace the German people.

INVASION (Continued from page 32)

The ideas behind the European Union were not a benign move toward more economic co-operation, peaceful coexistence and a breaking down of barriers.

The evil genius behind the European Union is Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi (1894-1972), son of an Austro-Hungarian diplomat and a Japanese upper class woman. In 1922, he founded the Pan European Movement. He quickly attracted leftist support and money. Early supporters of his ideas included Sigmund Freud and Albert Einstein, and leftist politicians like Thomas Masaryk and Edvard Benes of Czechoslovakia; Ignaz Seipel and Karl Renner of Austria; and Aristide Briand and Leon Blum of France.

In 1924, German banker Max Warburg gave him a war chest of 60,000 gold marks. In 1925, Coudenhove-Kalergi travelled to the U.S. and lined up more funding from financiers Paul Warburg and Bernard Baruch. In the '30s and during the war years, the European Union movement became dormant.

After the war, Coudenhove-Kalergi attracted support for his idea from Winston Churchill, B'nai B'rith and the **New York Times**. In 1947, Coudenhove-Kalergi became General Secretary of the European Parliamentary Union.

The Europe of the future, for Coudenhove-Kalergi, would no longer have independent countries and it would no longer even have distinct nationalities. The New European would be a mixed raced individual. In his blueprint for this genocidal nightmare, **Praktischer Idealismus** (Practical Idealism), he describes the New European:

"The man of the future will be of mixed race. The races and

classes of today will gradually disappear due to the elimination of space, time, and prejudice. The Eurasian-Negroid race of the future, similar in appearance to the Ancient Egyptians, will replace the diversity of peoples and the diversity of individuals." And Jews will be "the spiritual nobility of Europe."

His father had written a book denouncing anti-Semitism and had been a close friend of Theodore Herzl, the founder of Zionism. Coudenhove-Kalergi's first romantic encounter had been with the future actress Ida Roland, who was Jewish.

Mass Third World immigration would be the means to changing the racial make-up of Europe's population by replacing Europeans and precipitate the race-mixing that would produce the rootless population of Coudenhove-Kalergi's dream.

German Chancellor Angela Merkel is an ideological daughter of Coudenhove-Kalergi. In fact, in 2010, she was the recipient of the Coudenhove-Kalergi Prize. For her, the hordes of "migrants" presented not a challenge or threat, but a golden opportunity to bring more Third- Worlders into Germany which has a below-replacement birth rate. Many of the African and Middle Easterners have families of 5, 6, or 7 children.

Mrs. Merkel made a telling comment, in 2004, when Carl-Eduard Graf von Bismarck, great-grandson of Count Otto von Bismarck married a Canadian Jew, Nathalie Beriman. "You two have united history ... the next Prince of Bismarck will be a mix of both religions, " she told the couple. Actually, she's wrong. Judaism is passed on through the mother and the children will not be a "mix" but will, in fact, be Jewish.

The CofCC National Capital Chapter issues a timely, six-page monthly newsletter of local, national and international news and commentary of interest to CofCC members/supporters. Send \$3 for a sample copy to:

Sid Secular, P.O. Box 8336, Silver Spring, MD 20907-8336

Feds Struggle To Exclude Whites From Handouts

N. B. Forrest

The U.S. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and the grotesquely misnamed United States Justice Department are struggling to decide the best way to exclude White people from the latest Obama handouts, i.e. the shakedown of Ally Financial, formally known as GMAC, General Motors Acceptance Corporation.

In 2008, GMAC collapsed and was taken over by the United States government, in large part because it had made too many loans to financially dishonest minorities, who borrowed money to buy automobiles with no intention of paying the money back, often destroying their cars before repossession.

Intentionally refusing to address the dishonesty and irresponsible nature of many non-Whites, the Obama administration ignored these debts because they were primarily owed by blacks and Hispanics. Instead Obama chose to loot the company he took over, by distributing \$80 million of the renamed Ally Financial's assets to over 250,000 minority borrowers. Obama's rationale was that by charging non-White deadbeats higher interest rates, Ally had somehow "discriminated" against them.

The plan to redistribute Ally's wealth, though, has run into a hitch. Auto loan applications do not disclose the applicant's race to potential lenders. Thus, not only can Obama not prove that any deadbeats were discriminated against, he can't tell whether the money he's handing out is going into the hands of the hated White man or his own supporters.

The comical result of the "Democratic" party's latest witchhunt for racism is that Obama's Justice Department must mail out 450,000 letters to individuals living in ZIP codes that are more than 50% non-White, asking them to affirm their non-Whiteness.

Those living in 90 percent non-White areas are being told that they are presumably entitled to money: Those living in areas 50 to 90 percent non-White are being asked to return an affidavit stating they are non-White before they are eligible; And those living in more than 50% White areas are relying on Bayesian Improved Surname Gecoding (BISG.)

BISG is a system designed to determine if a given last name in a specific ZIP code is more likely to be White or non-White. In areas where a given last name is likely to be non-White, those folks are getting money too.

The distribution of 250,000 checks for \$300 to non-Whites for imaginary complaints is merely the latest evidence of Obama's racism and his Zionist handlers' genocidal plot against White people, but it is unlikely to have much impact. Soon after receiving the money, most non-Whites will fritter it away on gambling, drugs, alcohol, fast-food, cigarettes and hookers!

Though American White working people -- the kind of people who could use an extra \$300 to feed their families -- are almost completely disempowered in the United States, Obama's privileged negro êlite have spent the past seven years transforming the country in preparation for White genocide and the socialist revolution to follow.

Recently, with the candidacy of Donald Trump topping Republican polls, Shylock-owned news stations have been urging illegal immigrants to violate Federal Law and "use the Second Amendment" to acquire weapons to murder anyone who attempts to deport them. That exact statement was recently aired on Chicago's 105.9 FM.

The particular way in which the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau is handling the Ally Financial distribution has drawn scrutiny from Congressional regulators. The House Financial Services Committee under Rep. Jeb Hensarling (R-TX), has been holding hearings.

Unfortunately, this is all hot air: The Republican Party hates White people as much as Obama, and is nothing but a token opposition designed to delude people, and to channel and diffuse White anger.

NEWS YOU MAY HAVE MISSED

A Digest of interesting news items gleaned from various sources around the world that most likely did not appear in your local newspaper or on your nightly TV news broadcasts.

WOES OF WOODROW WILSON

The "memory hole" is getting a lot of use these days. The ongoing, communist-led race war of Blacks against Whites continues to expand. Princeton University President Christopher Eisgruber, for instance, caved in to demands by Negro agitators, and chose to open discussions aimed at erasing the memory of President Woodrow Wilson from his alma mater. Apparently, the man who took America into World War One against the people's wishes, who established the federal income tax, and the Federal Reserve System, as well as the League of Nations—and was therefore much beloved of the Left –was an evil racist because he allegedly supported racial segregation and had Southern leanings. The culture destroyers are already eating their own. The birds of the 1789 French Revolution are coming home to roost.

CULTURAL DESTRUCTION

To be successful, the destruction of a civilization must occur in the minds of its members by obliterating important components of its history. It is therefore no accident that Germany decided to house thousands of African and Asian invaders at Berlin's Tempelhof Airport, which has served as a massive park for the German people since 2008. In 1948-1949, the Airport was a key part of the Berlin airlift, through which tons of food and supplies passed, in order to keep Berliners from starving at the hands of the Soviets. Now Berliners are starving to support the invaders.

Proudly Supporting the Council of Conservative Citizens

A.J. BARKER WINDOW AND SIDING COMPANY

555 Centenary Church Road Clemmons, NC 27012 336-766-8471

Serving the Public for Over 30 Years

WAGE WINNERS

In 2015, the MacIver Institute launched a video series showing individuals across Wisconsin who started at minimum wage jobs and now, thanks to hard work, own and operate their own restaurants. These real-life success stories are overlooked by the mass media, which broadcast the propaganda that "an entry level job is an automatic sentence to a life in poverty". Labor union and left agitators want the minimum wage raised to \$15 per hour which will force many small firms out of business or reduce full-time employees to part-time. Make the most of the job you have—if you can get one not taken over by the illegals.

NOBEL PEACE FRAUD

Nobel Peace Prize winner President Barack Obama received that award before he could settle into his easy chair at the Oval Office. Last year the U.S. unleashed 23,144 bombs on Muslim-majority countries. The continuing "War-on-Terror" is in part responsible for the massive invasion of the West by Muslim marauders. Now Obama is threatening to bomb Libya once again, this time to oblivion, which will unleash more massive hordes upon the West.

ONE MORE REASON

In yet another reason to oppose Hillary Clinton for president, Mrs. Clinton told one of her adoring crowds in Iowa that if she is elected she would nominate Barack Obama for the Supreme Court. "He was a law professor, she said, so he's got all the credentials." Lord Have Mercy!

STANFORD'S FARM & FEED

P.O. Box 70 (Hwy. 82 West) Carrollton, MS 38917 662-237-6158 Day

Harmon & Laverne Stanford

PEOPLES BANK & TRUST CO.

North Carrollton Mississippi

Council of Conservative Citizens Legacy Society

Empower the next generation of patriots

When you include the Council of Conservative Citizens in our estate plans, you will be welcomed as a member of the Council of Conservative Citizens Legacy Society.

This group was formed to recognize our outstanding friends and donors whose log-term support will help the CofCC continue to advocate for our cultural heritage and political rights.

Members of the society will receive special recognition as testimony of your commitment. Each year, the CofCC will honor the members at a special Legacy Society table during the luncheon at our annual conference.

If you have included the CofCC in your plans, we hope that you will let us know. We would appreciate the opportunity to express our gratitude and to welcome you into the Legacy Society. Of course, if you wish to remain anonymous, we will respect your wishes.

For more information about the CofCC Legacy Society, please contact us at 636-940-8474.

There are several ways to make a contribution to the CofCC after your lifetime. You can leave your legacy through a will, a living trust, an insurance policy or an IRA or qualified retirement plan.

Through a will...

Leaving a bequest in our will is a simple process.

The Council of Conservative Citizens can be named as the beneficiary of a set dollar amount, a percentage of your estate, or specific assets.

If you wish, you may name the CofCC as a contingent beneficiary of assets designated for loved ones in the event they do not survive you.

Our legal designation is:

Council of Conservative Citizens P.O. Box 1522 St. Charles, MO 63302-1522

Through a living trust...

By including the CofCC in your living trust, you create the same

powerful legacy as you would a will. Living trusts are gaining popularity as they can eliminate the need for a lengthy and expensive probate process.

Sample language for wills and living trusts...

Whether you use a codicil (an addition to an existing will) or are having a will prepared for the first time, you use these words to make a gift to the CofCC: "I give, devise and bequeath (choose one: _____percent of my estate, _____dollars, _____percent of the residue of my estate (or) the residue of my estate) to the Council of Conservative Citizens."

However, if you have a specific purpose in mind, please contact us at 636-940-8474 so that we can assist you in planning a gift that meets both your interests and the goals of the CofCC.

It is wise to discuss with your attorney how best to structure your will to provide for loved ones and achieve your philanthropic objectives.

With life insurance policies...

The Council of Conservative Citizens can be named as the sole or partial beneficiary of a life insurance policy.

Using your IRA or qualified retirement plan...

Retirement plans are also attractive planned gift vehicles. The CofCC can be named as the beneficiary, primary, secondary or percentage of an IRA or other qualified retirement account.

For more information, please contact us at 636-940-8474.

Conservative Citizens Foundation...

Similar provision can be made for bequest or naming as a beneficiary the Conservative Citizens Foundation, which is a 501(C)(3) tax-exempt public foundation. Gifts to the Conservative Citizens Foundation are tax deductible.

